EXHIBIT C

Print Form

UNCLASSIFIED

Apply appropriate classification level and any control markings (if applicable) when filled in.

(U) SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

An Agreement between John Robert Bolton and the United States.

(Name – Printed or Typed)

1. (U) Intending to be legally bound, I hereby accept the obligations contained in this Agreement in consideration of my being granted access to information or material protected within Special Access Programs, hereinafter referred to in this Agreement as Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI). I have been advised that SCI involves or derives from intelligence sources or methods and is classified or is in process of a classification determination under the standards of Executive Order 13526 or other Executive order or statute. I understand and accept that by being granted access to SCI, special confidence and trust shall be placed in me by the United States Government.

2. (U) I hereby acknowledge that I have received a security indoctrination concerning the nature and protection of SCI, including the procedures to be followed in ascertaining whether other persons to whom I contemplate disclosing this information or material have been approved for access to it, and I understand these procedures. I understand that I may be required to sign subsequent agreements upon being granted access to different categories of SCI. I further understand that all my obligations under this agreement continue to exist whether or not I am required to sign such subsequent agreements.

3. (U) I have been advised that the unauthorized disclosure, unauthorized retention, or negligent handling of SCI by me could cause irreparable injury to the United States or be used to advantage by a foreign nation. I hereby agree that I will never divulge anything marked as SCI or that I know to be SCI to anyone who is not authorized to receive it without prior written authorization from the United States Government department or agency (hereinafter Department or Agency) that last authorized my access to SCI. I understand that it is my responsibility to consult with appropriate management authorities in the Department or Agency that last authorized my access to SCI, whether or not I am still employed by or associated with that Department or Agency or a contractor thereof, in order to ensure that I know whether information or material within my knowledge or control that I have reason to believe might be, or related to or derived from SCI, is considered by such Department or Agency to be SCI. I further understand that I am also obligated by law and regulation not to disclose any classified information or material in an unauthorized fashion.

4. (U) In consideration of being granted access to SCI and of being assigned or retained in a position of special confidence and trust requiring access to SCI, I hereby agree to submit for security review by the Department or Agency that last authorized my access to such information or material, any writing or other preparation in any form, including a work of fiction, that contains or purports to contain any SCI or description of activities that produce or relate to SCI or that I have reason to believe are derived from SCI, that I contemplate disclosing to any person not authorized to have access to SCI or that I have prepared for public disclosure. I understand and agree that my obligation to submit such preparations for review applies during the course of my access to SCI and thereafter, and I agree to make any required submissions prior to discussing the preparation with, or showing it to, anyone who is not authorized to have access to SCI. I further agree that I will not disclose the contents of such preparation with, or show it to, anyone who is not authorized to have access to SCI until I have received written authorization from the Department or Agency that last authorized my access to SCI that such disclosure is permitted.

5. (U) I understand that the purpose of the review described in paragraph 4 is to give the United States a reasonable opportunity to determine whether the preparation submitted pursuant to paragraph 4 sets forth any SCI. I further understand that the Department or Agency to which I have made a submission will act upon it, coordinating within the Intelligence Community when appropriate, and make a response to me within a reasonable time, not to exceed 30 working days from date of receipt.

6. (U) I have been advised that any breach of this Agreement may result in my termination of my access to SCI and removal from a position of special confidence and trust requiring such access, as well as the termination of my employment or other relationships with any Department or Agency that provides me with access to SCI. In addition, I have been advised that any unauthorized disclosure of SCI by me may constitute violations of United States criminal laws, including provisions of Sections 793, 794, 798, and 952, Title 18, United States Code, and of Section 783(b), Title 50, United States Code. Nothing in this agreement constitutes a waiver by the United States of the right to prosecute me for any statutory violation.

7. (U) I understand that the United States Government may seek any remedy available to it to enforce this Agreement including, but not limited to, application for a court order prohibiting disclosure of information in breach of this Agreement. I have been advised that the action can be brought against me in any of the several appropriate United States District Courts where the United States Government may elect to file the action. Court costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred by the United States Government may be assessed against me if I lose such action.

8. (U) I understand that all information to which I may obtain access by signing this Agreement is now and will remain the property of the United States Government unless and until otherwise determined by an appropriate official or final ruling of a court of law. Subject to such determination, I do not now, nor will I ever, possess any right, interest, title, or claim whatsoever to such information. I agree that I shall return all materials that may have come into my possession or for which I am responsible because of such access, upon demand by an authorized representative of the United States Government or upon the conclusion of my employment or other relationship with the United States Government entity providing me access to such materials. If I do not return such materials upon request, I understand this may be a violation of Section 793, Title 18, United States Code.

9. (U) Unless and until I am released in writing by an authorized representative of the Department or Agency that last provided me with access to SCI, I understand that all conditions and obligations imposed on me by this Agreement apply during the time I am granted access to SCI, and at all times thereafter.

10. (U) Each provision of this Agreement is severable. If a court should find any provision of this Agreement to be unenforceable, all other provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. This Agreement concerns SCI and does not set forth such other

UNCLASSIFIED

Apply appropriate classification level and any control markings (if applicable) when filled in.

conditions and obligations not related to SCI as may now or hereafter pertain to my employment by or assignment or relationship with the Department or Agency.

11. (U) I have read this Agreement carefully and my questions, if any, have been answered to my satisfaction. I acknowledge that the briefing officer has made available Sections 793, 794, 798 and 952 of Title 18, United States Code, and Section 783(b) of Title 50, United States Code, and Executive Order 13526, as amended, so that I may read them at this time, if I so choose.

12. (U) I hereby assign to the United States Government all rights, title and interest, and all royalties, remunerations, and emoluments that have resulted, will result, or may result from any disclosure, publication, or revelation not consistent with the terms of this Agreement.

13. (U) These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.

14. (U) These restrictions are consistent with and do not supersede conflict with or otherwise alter the employee obligations rights or liabilities created by Executive Order13526; or any successor thereto, Section 7211 of Title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); Section 1034 of Title 10, United States Code, as amended by the Military Whistleblower Protection Act (governing disclosures to Congress by members of the Military); Section 2302(b)(8) of Title 5, United States Code, as amended by the Whistleblower Protection Act (governing disclosure of illegality, waste, fraud, abuse or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents), sections 7(c) and 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) (relating to disclosures to an inspector general, the inspectors general of the Intelligence Community; and Congress); section 103H(g)(3) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403–3h(g)(3) (relating to disclosures to the inspector general of the Intelligence Community); sections 17(d)(5) and 17(e)(3) of the CIA Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403q(d)(5) and 403q(e)(3)) (relating to disclosures to the Inspector General of the Central Intelligence Agency and Congress): and the statutes which protect agent disclosure which may compromise the national security, including Section 641, 793, 794, 798, and 952 of Title 18, United States Code, and Section 4(b) of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. Section 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions and liabilities created by said Executive Order and listed statutes are incorporated into this Agreement and are controlling.

15. (U) This Agreement shall be interpreted under and in conformance with the law of the United States.

16. (U) I make this Agreement without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion.

J. R. Bolton — *Signature* — 04/05/2018 — *Date*

The execution of this Agreement was witnessed by the undersigned who accepted it on behalf of the United States Government as a prior condition of access to Sensitive Compartmented Information.

WITNESS and ACCEPTANCE: [redacted] — *Signature* — 04/05/2018 — *Date*

SECURITY BRIEFING / DEBRIEFING ACKNOWLEDGMENT

SI	TK	G	HCS-P		

(Special Access Programs by Initials Only)

[redacted]	John Robert Bolton	EOP / WHO
SSN (See Notice Below)	Printed or Typed Name	Organization

BRIEF Date 04/05/2018

I hereby acknowledge that I was briefed on the above SCI Special Access Program(s):

J. R. Bolton — *Signature of Individual Briefed*

DEBRIEF Date 9/13/19

Having been reminded of my continuing obligation to comply with the terms of this Agreement, I hereby acknowledge that I was debriefed on the above SCI Special Access Program(s):

J. R. Bolton — *Signature of Individual Briefed*

I certify that the briefing presented by me on the above date was in accordance with relevant SCI procedures.

[redacted]	
Signature of Briefing/Debriefing Officer	SSN (See notice below)
CARL L. KLINE	EOP/OA/PSO
Printed or Typed Name	Organization (Name and Address)

(U) NOTICE: The Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 522a, requires that federal agencies inform individuals, at the time information is solicited from them, whether the disclosure is mandatory or voluntary, by what authority such information is solicited, and what uses will be made of the information. You are hereby advised that authority for soliciting your Social Security Account Number (SSN) is Executive Order 9397, as amended. Your SSN will be used to identify you precisely when it is necessary to 1) certify that you have access to the information indicated above, 2) determine that your access to the information has terminated, or 3) certify that you have witnessed a briefing or debriefing. Although disclosure of your SSN is not mandatory, your failure to do so may impede such certifications or determinations.

EXHIBIT D

CLASSIFIED INFORMATION NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN John Robert Bolton AND THE UNITED STATES

(Name of Individual - Printed or typed)

1. Intending to be legally bound, I hereby accept the obligations contained in this Agreement in consideration of my being granted access to classified information. As used in this Agreement, classified information is marked or unmarked classified information, including oral communications, that is classified under the standards of Executive Order 13526, or under any other Executive order or statute that prohibits the unauthorized disclosure of information in the interest of national security; and unclassified information that meets the standards for classification and is in the process of a classification determination as provided in sections 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4(e) of Executive Order 13526, or under any other Executive order or statute that requires protection for such information in the interest of national security. I understand and accept that by being granted access to classified information, special confidence and trust shall be placed in me by the United States Government.

2. I hereby acknowledge that I have received a security indoctrination concerning the nature and protection of classified information, including the procedures to be followed in ascertaining whether other persons to whom I contemplate disclosing this information have been approved for access to it, and that I understand these procedures.

3. I have been advised that the unauthorized disclosure, unauthorized retention, or negligent handling of classified information by me could cause damage or irreparable injury to the United States or could be used to advantage by a foreign nation. I hereby agree that I will never divulge classified information to anyone unless: (a) I have officially verified that the recipient has been properly authorized by the United States Government to receive it; or (b) I have been given prior written notice of authorization from the United States Government Department or Agency (hereinafter Department or Agency) responsible for the classification of information or last granting me a security clearance that such disclosure is permitted. I understand that if I am uncertain about the classification status of information, I am required to confirm from an authorized official that the information is unclassified before I may disclose it, except to a person as provided in (a) or (b), above. I further understand that I am obligated to comply with laws and regulations that prohibit the unauthorized disclosure of classified information.

4. I have been advised that any breach of this Agreement may result in the termination of any security clearances I hold; removal from any position of special confidence and trust requiring such clearances; or termination of my employment or other relationships with the Departments or Agencies that granted my security clearance or clearances. In addition, I have been advised that any unauthorized disclosure of classified information by me may constitute a violation, or violations, of United States criminal laws, including the provisions of sections 641, 793, 794, 798, *952 and 1924, title 18, United States Code; *the provisions of section 783(b), title 50, United States Code; and the provisions of the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982. I recognize that nothing in this Agreement constitutes a waiver by the United States of the right to prosecute me for any statutory violation.

5. I hereby assign to the United States Government all royalties, remunerations, and emoluments that have resulted, will result or may result from any disclosure, publication, or revelation of classified information not consistent with the terms of this Agreement.

6. I understand that the United States Government may seek any remedy available to it to enforce this Agreement including, but not limited to, application for a court order prohibiting disclosure of information in breach of this Agreement.

7. I understand that all classified information to which I have access or may obtain access by signing this Agreement is now and will remain the property of, or under the control of the United States Government unless and until otherwise determined by an authorized official or final ruling of a court of law. I agree that I shall return all classified materials which have, or may come into my possession or for which I am responsible because of such access: (a) upon demand by an authorized representative of the United States Government; (b) upon the conclusion of my employment or other relationship with the Department or Agency that last granted me a security clearance or that provided me access to classified information; or (c) upon the conclusion of my employment or other relationship that requires access to classified information. If I do not return such materials upon request, I understand that this may be a violation of sections 793 and/or 1924, title 18, United States Code, a United States criminal law.

8. Unless and until I am released in writing by an authorized representative of the United States Government, I understand that all conditions and obligations imposed upon me by this Agreement apply during the time I am granted access to classified information, and at all times thereafter.

9. Each provision of this Agreement is severable. If a court should find any provision of this Agreement to be unenforceable, all other provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

10. These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.

(Continue on reverse.)

11. These restrictions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by Executive Order No. 13526 (75 Fed. Reg. 707), or any successor thereto section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures to Congress); section 1034 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by the Military Whistleblower Protection Act (governing disclosure to Congress by members of the military); section 2302(b) (8) of title 5, United States Code, as amended by the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 (governing disclosures of illegality, waste, fraud , abuse or public health or safety threats); the Intelligence Identities Protection Act of 1982 (50 U.S.C. 421 et seq.) (governing disclosures that could expose confidential Government agents); sections 7(c) and 8H of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) (relating to disclosures to an inspector general, the inspectors general of the Intelligence Community. and Congress); section 103H(g)(3) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-3h(g)(3) (relating to disclosures to the inspector general of the Intelligence Community); sections 17(d)(5) and 17(e)(3) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403g(d)(5) and 403q(e)(3)) (relating to disclosures to the Inspector General of the Central Intelligence Agency and Congress); and the statutes which protect against disclosure that may compromise the national security, including sections 641, 793, 794, 798, *952 and 1924 of title 18, United States Code, and *section 4 (b) of the Subversive Activities Control Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. section 783(b)). The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by said Executive Order and listed statutes are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.

12. I have read this Agreement carefully and my questions, if any, have been answered. I acknowledge that the briefing officer has made available to me the Executive Order and statutes referenced in this agreement and its implementing regulation (32 CFR Part 2001 , section 2001 .80(d)(2)) so that I may read them at this time, if I so choose.

* NOT APPLICABLE TO NON-GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL SIGNING THIS AGREEMENT.

SIGNATURE	DATE	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER *(See Notice below)*
J. R Bolton	04/05/2018	[redacted]

ORGANIZATION (IF CONTRACTOR, LICENSEE, GRANTEE OR AGENT, PROVIDE: NAME, ADDRESS, AND, IF APPLICABLE, FEDERAL SUPPLY CODE NUMBER) *(Type or print)*

EOP/WHO

WITNESS		ACCEPTANCE	
THE EXECUTION OF THIS AGREEMENT WAS WITNESSED BY THE UNDERSIGNED.		**THE UNDERSIGNED ACCEPTED THIS AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.**	
SIGNATURE	DATE	SIGNATURE	DATE
	04/05/2018		04/05/2018
NAME AND ADDRESS *(Type or print)*		NAME AND ADDRESS *(Type or print)*	
Carl L. Kline 725 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20503		Carl L. Kline 725 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20503	

SECURITY DEBRIEFING ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I reaffirm that the provisions of the espionage laws, other federal criminal laws and executive orders applicable to the safeguarding of classified information have been made available to me; that I have returned all classified information in my custody; that I will not communicate or transmit classified information to any unauthorized person or organization; that I will promptly report to the Federal Bureau of Investigation any attempt by an unauthorized person to solicit classified information, and that I (have) (have not) (strike out inappropriate word or words) received a security debriefing.

SIGNATURE OF EMPLOYEE	DATE
J. R Bolton	9/13/19
NAME OF WITNESS *(Type or print)*	SIGNATURE OF WITNESS
DAVID M. JONES	

NOTICE: The Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a, requires that federal agencies inform individuals, at the time information is solicited from them, whether the disclosure is mandatory or voluntary, by what authority such information is solicited, and what uses will be made of the information. You are hereby advised that authority for soliciting your Social Security Number (SSN) is Public Law 104-134 (April 26, 1996). Your SSN will be used to identify you precisely when it is necessary to certify that you have access to the information indicated above or to determine that your access to the information indicated has been terminated. Furnishing your Social Security Number, as well as other data, is voluntary, but failure to do so may delay or prevent you being granted access to classified information.

EXHIBIT E

From: Chuck Cooper
To: J. Knight Ellen (ellen.j.knight@nsc.eop.gov)
Bcc: Chuck Cooper; Michael W. Kirk
Subject: Prepublication review of Ambassador John Bolton"s book manuscript
Date: Monday, December 30, 2019 11:33:00 AM

Dear Ms. Knight,
I represent Ambassador John Bolton, and I understand from Mr. Michael Ellis that you are in charge of the office responsible for prepublication security review of materials submitted by former National Security Counsel officials. I would like to discuss with you the process for securely submitting for prepublication review a hard copy of the manuscript of a book that Mr. Bolton is preparing for publication. Please call me at your earliest convenience at the number below, or give me a number and time when it would be convenient for me to call you.
Many thanks,
Chuck Cooper

Charles J. Cooper
Cooper & Kirk, PLLC
1523 New Hampshire Ave., NW
Washington D.C., 20036
202-220-9660

EXHIBIT F

Cooper & Kirk
Lawyers
A Professional Limited Liability Company
1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Charles J. Cooper
(202) 220-9660
ccooper@cooperkirk.com

(202) 220-9600
Fax (202) 220-9601

December 30, 2019

BY HAND

Ellen J. Knight
Senior Director, Records Management Directorate
Executive Office of the President
Washington, D.C. 20500

Re: Prepublication Security Review of Book Manuscript by Ambassador John Bolton

Dear Ms. Knight:

Thank you again for your helpful guidance in our telephone conversation earlier today concerning my submission, on behalf of Ambassador John Bolton, for prepublication security review of the enclosed manuscript of a book that he has prepared relating in large part to his service as National Security Advisor to the President. As I mentioned, Ambassador Bolton has carefully sought to avoid any discussion in the manuscript of sensitive compartmented information ("SCI") or other classified information, and we accordingly do not believe that prepublication review is required. We are nonetheless submitting this manuscript out of an abundance of caution, as contemplated by the nondisclosure agreements that he entered, commencing with those of April 5, 2018 immediately prior to his entry on duty.

I appreciate your assurance that the sole purpose of prepublication security review is to ensure that SCI or other classified information is not publicly disclosed. In keeping with that purpose, it is our understanding that the process of reviewing submitted materials is restricted to those career government officials and employees regularly charged with responsibility for such reviews. Accordingly, we understand that the contents of Ambassador Bolton's manuscript will not be reviewed by or otherwise disclosed to any persons not regularly involved in that process. *See* 28 CFR § 17.18(h) ("Material submitted for pre-publication review will be reviewed solely for the purpose of identifying and preventing the disclosure of sensitive compartmented information and other classified information. . . . Materials submitted for review will be disseminated to other persons or agencies only to the extent necessary to identify classified information.") (Justice Department prepublication review regulation). Ambassador Bolton is relying specifically on this understanding of the prepublication review process in submitting his manuscript for such review.

Finally, I reiterate that the editorial and publication schedule for the manuscript is highly time sensitive, and so any efforts to complete the review before expiration of the 30-working-day deadline established in the April 5, 2018, agreement will be greatly appreciated. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions. We stand ready to be of assistance in any way possible in order to expedite your review.

Sincerely,

Charles J. Cooper

EXHIBIT G

From: Knight, Ellen J. EOP/NSC
To: Chuck Cooper
Subject: RE: Prepublication review of Ambassador John Bolton"s book manuscript
Date: Monday, December 30, 2019 2:50:59 PM

Dear Mr. Cooper,

I have the manuscript in hand and we will begin the review process. I will be in-touch.

Thank you,
Ellen

Ellen J. Knight ¦Senior Director
Records Access and Information Security Management
National Security Council
Executive Office of the President
202.456.9206 (desk)
202.456.9201 (main office)
Ellen.j.knight@nsc.eop.gov

From: Chuck Cooper <ccooper@cooperkirk.com>
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2019 11:34 AM
To: Knight, Ellen J. EOP/NSC <Ellen.J.Knight@nsc.eop.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Prepublication review of Ambassador John Bolton's book manuscript

Dear Ms. Knight,
I represent Ambassador John Bolton, and I understand from Mr. Michael Ellis that you are in charge of the office responsible for prepublication security review of materials submitted by former National Security Counsel officials. I would like to discuss with you the process for securely submitting for prepublication review a hard copy of the manuscript of a book that Mr. Bolton is preparing for publication. Please call me at your earliest convenience at the number below, or give me a number and time when it would be convenient for me to call you.
Many thanks,
Chuck Cooper

Charles J. Cooper
Cooper & Kirk, PLLC
1523 New Hampshire Ave., NW
Washington D.C., 20036
202-220-9660

NOTICE: This e-mail is from the law firm of Cooper & Kirk, PLLC ("C&K"), and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of C&K, do not

construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not disclose anything to C&K in reply that you expect to be held in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel or retained expert of C&K, you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve any attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.

EXHIBIT H

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20504

January 23, 2020

Charles J. Cooper
Cooper & Kirk
1523 New Hampshire Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL TO CCOOPER@COOPERKIRK.COM

Dear Mr. Cooper:

Thank you for speaking yesterday by telephone. As we discussed, the National Security Council (NSC) Access Management directorate has been provided the manuscript submitted by your client, former Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs John Bolton, for prepublication review. Based on our preliminary review, the manuscript appears to contain significant amounts of classified information. It also appears that some of this classified information is at the TOP SECRET level, which is defined by Executive Order 13526 as information that "reasonably could be expected to cause exceptionally grave harm to the national security" of the United States if disclosed without authorization. Under federal law and the nondisclosure agreements your client signed as a condition for gaining access to classified information, the manuscript may not be published or otherwise disclosed without the deletion of this classified information.

The manuscript remains under review in order for us to do our best to assist your client by identifying the classified information within the manuscript, while at the same time ensuring that publication does not harm the national security of the United States. We will do our best to work with you to ensure your client's ability to tell his story in a manner that protects U.S. national security. We will be in touch with you shortly with additional, more detailed guidance regarding next steps that should enable you to revise the manuscript and move forward as expeditiously as possible.

Sincerely,

Ellen J. Knight
Senior Director for Records, Access, and
Information Security Management

EXHIBIT I

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20504

February 7, 2020

Charles J. Cooper
Cooper & Kirk
1523 New Hampshire Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL TO CCOOPER@COOPERKIRK.COM

Dear Mr. Cooper:

As you are aware, Executive Order 13526 defines "classified information" as information, the unauthorized disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to cause at the very least "identifiable or describable damage to the national security" of the United States. In order to avoid such damage, as a condition for access to classified national security information, the Executive Branch has long required its employees to submit to a critically important prepublication review process with respect to any such information in advance of publication. These nondisclosure requirements, agreed to by your client as a condition of access to classified information, supplement the legal obligations that federal law imposes upon all persons who receive access to classified information. I would be happy to provide you copies of agreements signed by your client if that would be helpful.

As I noted in my letter of January 23, 2020, our preliminary review determined that the draft contains numerous instances of classified information. For example, the draft contains classified discussions between the President and foreign heads of state, classified foreign government information, details about classified military plans and operations, and classified details about intelligence sharing and activities. As the former Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, your client understands the sensitivity of these categories of information and the potential harm that could be expected to result from its unauthorized disclosure.

Given the volume of classified information currently contained in the draft, your client should modify and revise the manuscript to remove all classified information and resubmit it to us for review. To further the iterative review process, it would be most efficient for me to meet with your client to review each instance of classified information in detail and, as necessary, assist in the prioritization of any particular portions. I am available any day next week. In the meantime, your client has a duty not to publish or otherwise disclose the manuscript or any of its underlying information until he has addressed our concerns and received authorization to do so from our office.

As written, the manuscript is very detailed, suggesting that it was likely produced from notes written by your client during his service at the White House. When your client received his employee debriefing, he stated that he did not have any notes or other records from his government service. Any notes that remain in your client's possession regarding the accounts in

the manuscript may fall under the requirements of the Presidential Records Act and be subject to litigation holds. Please confirm whether your client has retained any notes or other records from his government service.

Of more immediate concern, as my letter of January 23, 2020, informed you, is that the manuscript contains classified information. NSC staff will be in contact with your client to provide additional guidance on how to safeguard any classified information in your client's possession and in the possession of anyone with whom your client has shared the draft manuscript or any of the manuscript's underlying information. In that regard, please also provide us, as soon as possible, with the names and contact information of anyone with whom your client has shared the manuscript or its underlying information or confirm that he has not shared it.

Please note that this letter, along with my letter of January 23, 2020, constitute NSC's initial response for the purposes of the nondisclosure agreements signed by your client.

Sincerely,

Ellen J. Knight

Ellen J. Knight
Senior Director for Records, Access,
and Information Security Management

EXHIBIT J

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20504

February 24, 2020

Charles J. Cooper
Cooper & Kirk
1523 New Hampshire Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL TO CCOOPER@COOPERKIRK.COM

Dear Mr. Cooper:

Last Friday, I was pleased to meet with your client, Ambassador John Bolton, to discuss our preliminary review results concerning the draft manuscript submitted for prepublication review on December 30, 2019. As I noted in my letters dated January 23, 2020, and February 7, 2020, our preliminary review determined that the draft contains numerous instances of classified information. The meeting furthered the iterative review process by providing an opportunity to inform your client of many of the specific instances of classified information identified in the draft manuscript and offer guidance to prevent unauthorized disclosure of this information for the protection of national security.

During our meeting, which lasted four hours and was most productive, I discussed with your client our use of the classification standards and categories found in Executive Order 13526, "Classified National Security Information," to identify classified information found in the draft manuscript, and he appeared to acknowledge the need to revise the manuscript to address our concerns regarding classified information. I provided guidance as to when and how he should modify language that is classified in its current form so that it no longer meets the standards to be classified. In addition, we discussed with your client guidance as to when he should delete instances of classified information found in the draft manuscript, as even with revisions the information would remain classified and thus would not be publicly releasable. Finally, I advised him on the use of citations of authorized releases and publicly available information related to national security.

I reviewed the preliminary results of three chapters in the draft manuscript in detail with your client during our meeting. Additionally, I discussed the details of a sample of review findings throughout the draft manuscript to convey instances of identified classified information. We discussed how your client can potentially avoid including classified information when discussing matters related to national security. These examples should aid your client as he revises the draft manuscript.

It became apparent during our meeting that it would be most helpful to the process if we hold one or more follow-on meetings. We agreed to meet again at my office to discuss the remaining portions of the draft manuscript. In order to ensure the safeguarding of identified classified

information, we discussed your proposal to locate a secure facility for your client to complete the edits of the draft manuscript. Once we complete our follow-on meetings, your client may then implement the required changes in a secure location. We can discuss the appropriate method for resubmitting the manuscript as the process moves forward.

The notes your client took at our meeting, as well as the draft manuscript he annotated, remain secured at my office. I have reviewed your client's notes to identify and redact any classified information and am enclosing a copy with this letter.

Please note the prepublication review remains in process, and your client may not publish or further disseminate the manuscript or any of its contents until authorized. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about next steps in the prepublication review process.

Sincerely,

Ellen J. Knight

Ellen J. Knight
Senior Director for Records Access,
and Information Security Management

Enclosure: a/s

(1)

UNCLASSIFIED

1. can't leave w/ the book [w/ PRA] ⇒
2. not exclusive ⇒ dyes or redacts
3. SCIF - they will work inside WH/NSC; leadership very aware of this;
4. Book - not contents of the memo
   - processed like other manuscripts
   - newness of the information; be more careful; re any info'd foreign relations so POTUS can execute his/her functions
   ⇒ solely at classification review;
   ⇒ no one else knows what's in the book for Annex, Cabinet
   ⇒ any info'd NSC policy making - inner workings; who liked who; opinion - all fine; matters where POTUS' own opinion must be made clear; must show citations to open source materials
   ⇒ parts of HOS calls/mtgs - OK; read outs; can discuss these; problem - the quoting; paraphrase & speak at a high level; need cites;
   ⇒ outcomes of negotiations ⇒ & options ⇒ leverage - have to be worked; this reduces risk of referring to other agencies or govts.
   - Ukrain - not a CoD that's been declassified; so not much classified
   - see you look thru & they will move;
   - ask some Qs as from any other mtg;
   - reduce flow / loss of time; flag to other agencies will slow things down;
   ⇒ SCI material
   - solicitor studies; notes not taken; must all be redacted

(21)

UNCLASSIFIED

→ citation issue;
instead of saying do X + the allies question whether we could
DO in my assessment -
Journalist
→ can do chapter-by-chapter;

XIV - p2 - talk about the quotes
" red line
↳ at press conference
leaked late Oct
p3 Heather quotes - It was my assessment Col Vindman strongly opposes;
'D' & the quotes
W/ were discussed;
p5: Potomac - he promised me he would get back to me -
p5 - could paraphrase w/o quotes
p6 → generally quotes are fine for POTUS;
"Would Be Used ....
p9 - Putin quote - must change;
p12 - need a citation for red line
leaked - "I judged
p16 - red line - citation; or say my assessment
2d leaked -
will take a look at quotes;
if you feel motion to strike/strong;

underline
need a
citation

UNCLASSIFIED

(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

p17 ⇒ long he knew how close...
is this my opinion?
↳ p17-18 ⇒ forged the 2/4 - early google-doc
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted] ⇒ maybe delete this?
↳

Chapter X - Syria - p1 ⇒ 9/1 - get citations;
called my DO's the week.
Then April 13, 2018 - office of the press secretary
p2 - Framed with WH press office ⇒
dated April 8;
p3 - Russia - citation
Sechnill -
not planners tally
even no chlorine -
(1.4)(c) delete [redacted]
p4 - re UK Ambo ⇒ many together
publicly - cite
May - too sensitive,
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) ↳ [redacted] approval vs - the pres?
↳ Virgin attack ⇒ over unclassified publicly;
p5 - May sensitivity;
Green opinion piece - the pres re the UK
decision;
p6 - Mowrer call;
my opinion;
redun - framed a Lebanon approach

UNCLASSIFIED

4

p 7 - 1st bullet
2d bullet - proposed;
2d bullet - WSD was the pers;

(1.4)(a) p 8 - [redacted] related targets
red line - pres statute;

p 9 - 2x mins;
DoD - pres briefs Mattis > Dunford lead
Fridays night;

(1.4)(c) - Syria [redacted]

10 - related
get pres readout of the May Trump call;

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p 11 - Macron - said Putin [redacted]
11 - 40 SASI's state

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p 12 - Macron called [redacted]
is there a ute;

II. p 5 - Allen readily - need cyber strategy ute
- brief on the 2018 elections;

p 11 - Dibb - I'm OK w/ it

[p 12 - fire > Iraq > ute
Tillerson - ute;]

Mattis - need ute;

p 13 - Tillerson - ute;
13 - ute the tally post thing;

p 15 - 2d full bullet - ute
Knowles - last sentence re UNRWA funding;

p 16 - Syria mtg: need ute;

p 17 - ute > public knowledge

UNCLASSIFIED

p19 - Nomchul → cite WHpress release dated [redacted] days we expelled 60 Russian diplomats

there III → p.4 embassy to her causes damage to our foreign relations; quality and otter - negotiations is a no go; don't embarass the otter leader.

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted]

p6 - Iran attack; cite news report of the attack;
p9 - Shia militia

Tel - p2 - Mar-a-Lago ¶ -
my impression of what Abe wanted pattern has been
we're a different client now - foreign relations;
not we're going on and on
p5 - mike staff - decoyples;

EXHIBIT K

Subject: Prepublication Review Edits for Pick-Up

From: Knight, Ellen J. EOP/NSC
Sent: Friday, March 27, 2020 3:52 PM
To: 'John R. Bolton' <jrboltonii@aol.com>
Cc: 'Christine Samuelian' <christine@fasfreedom.com>
Subject: Prepublication Review Edits for Pick-Up

Good afternoon Ambassador Bolton,

Thank you for submitting your revised manuscript to the National Security Council (NSC) Access Management directorate for pre-publication review. I appreciate your efforts to address the classification concerns in the latest draft version you submitted. Many of the changes are satisfactory. However, additional edits are required to ensure the protection of national security information.

To assist in making the additional required changes, I will provide a list of required edits and language substitutions to guide you in this next stage of revising the draft. I have made this list available in printed copy for you or a courier to pcik-up as it contains unclassified information. After receiving the list, I ask that you review the edits and make the changes to the draft. To expedite the review process, I ask that you use "track changes" or another type of formatting convention to identify all of the edits you make so that I may distinguish between the version just reviewed and the new version you plan to submit.

It would be helpful for you to note on the list provided those edits you did not make and/or those you wish to discuss with me. Please let me know when you have finished editing the draft manuscript and completed the annotations to the list and we can then discuss the best way to address any concerns you may have with the required changes. We can also discuss the most efficient method for resubmitting the revised manuscript.

Please note I will have to review the edited manuscript again to ensure the edits were completed, checking both your work and mine to ensure no classified information remains in the manuscript. As such, I must reiterate that the prepublication review remains in process. Even after making the edits, you are not authorized to publish or further disseminate the manuscript or its contents until expressly given clearance by me to do so.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about next steps in the prepublication review process.

Thank you,
Ellen

Ellen J. Knight | Senior Director
Records Access and Information Security Management
National Security Council
Executive Office of the President
202.456.9206 (desk)
202.456.9201 (main office)
Ellen.j.knight@nsc.eop.gov

EXHIBIT L

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20504

June 8, 2020

Charles J. Cooper
Cooper & Kirk, PLLC
1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

SENT VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL TO CCOOPER@COOPERKIRK.COM

Dear Mr. Cooper:

Recently, we have become aware of press reports indicating that your client, John Bolton, intends to publish his manuscript imminently. This is inconsistent with the prepublication review process under the agreements signed by your client and under which we have been proceeding thus far. As we explained on January 23, February 7, February 24, and March 27, 2020, until the prepublication review process is complete and he receives the necessary authorization at the conclusion of that process, he may not publish or disseminate the manuscript.

The current draft manuscript still contains classified information. As we advised your client when he signed the nondisclosure agreements, and as he should be well aware as a former Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs in this Administration, the unauthorized disclosure of classified information could be exploited by a foreign power, thereby causing significant harm to the national security of the United States.

As we work to finish the iterative prepublication review process, we will provide you, no later than June 19, 2020, a copy of your client's draft manuscript with redactions for the information that has been identified as classified. Please confirm by June 10, 2020, that your client understands his legal obligations under the nondisclosure agreements and that he will not publish or disseminate any portion of the manuscript until after the prepublication review process has concluded and he has received the necessary authorization.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

John A. Eisenberg
Assistant to the President,
Deputy Counsel to the President, and
Legal Advisor to the National Security Council

EXHIBIT M

Cooper & Kirk
Lawyers
A Professional Limited Liability Company

Charles J. Cooper
(202) 220-9660
ccooper@cooperkirk.com

1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 220-9600
Fax (202) 220-9601

June 10, 2020

Via Electronic Mail

John A. Eisenberg
Assistant to the President,
Deputy Counsel to the President, and
Legal Advisor to the National Security Council
1600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW,
Washington, DC 20500

Re: Prepublication review of Ambassador John Bolton's manuscript

Dear Mr. Eisenberg:

I write in response to your letter of June 8, 2020. Ambassador Bolton has fully discharged all duties that the Federal Government may lawfully require of him under the nondisclosure agreements that he signed upon assuming the office of National Security Advisor. As described below, Ambassador Bolton undertook, in good faith, an exhaustive and lengthy prepublication review process of his book, *The Room Where It Happened: A White House Memoir*, and the senior career professional at the National Security Council (NSC) tasked with performing such a review, Ms. Ellen Knight, assured Ambassador Bolton that there were no remaining issues of classified information in his manuscript. His own independent judgment, based on decades of experience handling classified information, confirms that his manuscript contains no classified information. It is readily apparent that the White House seeks to block publication of Ambassador Bolton's book for purely political reasons, in violation of the First and Fifth Amendments to the United States Constitution, the covenant of good faith and fair dealing implicit in the nondisclosure agreements, and the executive order and regulations governing the classification of information.

Ambassador Bolton's long and distinguished service to the government of the United States, in senior positions both in national security and law enforcement, testifies to his close familiarity with classified information at the highest levels and his extensive experience in handling it properly. And his well-deserved reputation as a fierce defender of American interests in dealing with foreign powers, both allies and enemies, establish that he would never—*never*—take an action that would compromise the national security of the United States. In drafting the manuscript for his book, Ambassador Bolton was careful to avoid including any

classified information. Nonetheless, to ensure that there could be no question of his good-faith compliance with the nondisclosure agreements he signed in April 2018, Ambassador Bolton instructed me, as his lawyer, to submit the draft of his manuscript to the National Security Council for a prepublication review. As you know, the purpose of this review, as stated in one of the agreements, is "to give the United States a reasonable opportunity to determine whether the [manuscript] . . . sets forth any" classified information, and it gives the NSC 30 business days to review the material and provide its response.

I submitted the manuscript on December 30, 2019, to Ms. Knight, Senior Director for Records, Access, and Information Security Management at the National Security Council, the office responsible for conducting the prepublication review process for the NSC. In doing so, I emphasized to Ms. Knight that Ambassador Bolton was relying on regulations restricting the scope of prepublication reviews to "identifying and preventing the disclosure of . . . classified information," and limiting disclosure of the material under review to those government officials necessary for carrying out that responsibility. These regulations are in line with Executive Order 13526's prohibition on classifying information "in order to prevent embarrassment to a person" or to "prevent or delay the release of information that does not require protection in the interest of national security." Ms. Knight assured me that the sole purpose of the NSC's review would be to ensure that Ambassador Bolton's manuscript did not disclose classified information.

Over the course of four months, Ambassador Bolton and Ms. Knight, who personally conducted the review with the assistance of a senior member of Ms. Knight's staff, painstakingly reviewed the nearly 500-page manuscript *four times*, page by page and often line by line. During that period, the book's announced publication date had to be pushed back twice.

Round one of the process began on January 23, as the President's impeachment trial was getting underway on the Senate floor. Ms. Knight wrote to say that Ambassador Bolton's manuscript contained "significant amounts of classified information" and that she would provide "detailed guidance regarding next steps that should enable [Bolton] to revise the manuscript and move forward as expeditiously as possible." A few days later, *Vanity Fair* reported that "the president is out for revenge against his adversaries." The article stated that the President "has an enemies list," that "Bolton is at the top of the list," and that the "campaign against Bolton" included Ms. Knight's January 23 letter asserting that the manuscript contained classified information. It also reported that the President "wants Bolton to be criminally investigated." Six days later, the President tweeted that the Ambassador had written "a nasty & untrue book"—an assessment of the book's content that he could only have made if the manuscript had been shared with those outside the normal prepublication-review process—and he described the book as "All Classified National Security." Notwithstanding these alarming

indications that the prepublication-review process had already been corrupted, Ambassador Bolton pressed onward and continued to cooperate in good faith with the review.

On February 7 (after the White House acknowledged that NSC staff had provided a briefing about the book to White House Counsel Pat Cipollone, then leading President Trump's impeachment defense), Ms. Knight advised that "to further the iterative process, it would be most efficient for me to meet with [Ambassador Bolton] to review each instance of classified information in detail." Their first meeting took place on February 21, the same day on which the *Washington Post* reported that "President Trump has directly weighed in on the White House [prepublication] review of a forthcoming book by his former national security adviser, telling his staff that he views John Bolton as 'a traitor,' that everything he uttered to the departed aide about national security is classified and that he will seek to block the book's publication." The story also reported that the President vowed to a group of television news anchors that "we're going to try and block publication of [his] book. After I leave office, he can do this."

In the February 21 meeting, which lasted four hours, Ms. Knight, as she described it, "reviewed the preliminary results of three chapters in the draft manuscript in detail with" Ambassador Bolton. The Ambassador took five pages of handwritten notes, as he and Ms. Knight discussed her specific concerns page by page, line by line, and sometimes word by word. Three days later, Ms. Knight wrote that the meeting had been "most productive," and she suggested that "it would be most helpful to the process if we hold one or more following meetings . . . to discuss the remaining portions of the draft manuscript." Ambassador Bolton and Ms. Knight met again three times, on March 2 (approximately four hours), March 3 (over four hours), and March 4 (approximately three hours). In these meetings, they reviewed in meticulous detail each of Ms. Knight's concerns in the remaining 11 chapters, producing 34 pages of handwritten notes. Following his notes and the guidance provided by Ms. Knight, Ambassador Bolton revised his manuscript, and by March 9 he had resubmitted all 14 chapters to begin the second round of the iterative review process.

Ambassador Bolton did not hear from Ms. Knight again until March 27, when she wrote: "I appreciate your efforts to address the classification concerns in the latest draft version you submitted. Many of the changes are satisfactory. However, additional edits are required to ensure the protection of national security information. To assist in making the additional required changes, I will provide a list of required edits and language substitutions to guide you in this next stage of revising the draft." Her list amounted to 17 typed, single-spaced pages of comments, questions, suggestions of specific alternative language, and citations to publicly available source material. Working through the weekend, Ambassador Bolton responded to all

17 pages on Monday, March 30, accepting the vast majority of Ms. Knight's suggestions and proposing alternative solutions to others.

The third round in the iterative review process occurred on April 13, in a telephone conversation in which Ms. Knight provided her much shorter list of remaining concerns after reviewing Ambassador Bolton's March 30 revisions. Their conversation resulted in entirely agreed-upon language changes, which were delivered to Ms. Knight the next day, April 14.

During the April 13 call, Ms. Knight also said she would review the entire manuscript one more time, to recheck the issues previously resolved and ensure that she had not overlooked any. That final review resulted in two further telephone calls, on April 21 and 24, in which she conveyed her final round of edits and some additional citations to publicly available sources. Ambassador Bolton promptly responded with the requested revisions, and on April 27, Ms. Knight, after clarifying one previously discussed edit, confirmed "that's the last edit I really have to provide for you." Thus, the lengthy, laborious process finally came to an end.

When Ambassador Bolton asked when he could expect to receive the pro-forma closing letter confirming that the prepublication review process had been concluded, Ms. Knight cryptically replied that her "interaction" with unnamed others in the White House about the book had "been very delicate," and that there were "some internal process considerations to work through." She nonetheless thought the letter might be ready that afternoon but would "know more by the end of the day." They even discussed whether the letter should be transmitted by electronic transmission or by him physically picking up the hard copy. It has now been more than six weeks since the final revisions to the book, and Ambassador Bolton has not received the letter to which Ms. Knight thought he was entitled. His inquiries of Ms. Knight as to when he would receive the letter documenting her agreement that the book contains no classified information have been answered with stiff and formal replies that she had nothing new to report. He had not heard from her, or anyone else at the NSC, since May 7, until I received your letter two days ago.

In light of the foregoing, there can be no serious dispute that Ambassador Bolton discharged in good faith any duty, contractual or otherwise, he had to undertake the prepublication-review process. The process was exhaustive, involving innumerable, often picayune changes to his manuscript. It required multiple delays in the publication date for the book, which Ambassador Bolton accommodated to allow the prepublication-review process to continue. It ended with the career professional in charge of the prepublication-review process at NSC determining that the manuscript contained no classified information and that no further changes to the manuscript were required. And it continued for four months—with Ambassador

Bolton's full cooperation—even though the President repeatedly made clear throughout the review that he would seek to block the book's publication. Ambassador Bolton has fulfilled any lawful obligations he had under his nondisclosure agreements or otherwise.

Again, your June 8 letter was the first communication we have received from the White House (including from Ms. Knight) concerning the Ambassador's manuscript since May 7, and it is the first time anyone from the White House has suggested that any remaining information in the book is classified since Ms. Knight signed off on the manuscript on April 27. This last-minute allegation of classified information, coming as it does after weeks of silence from the NSC despite Ambassador Bolton's urgent inquiries, after the conclusion of an intensive four-months-long review, and—as you acknowledge—only after press reports alerted you that the Ambassador's book would be published on June 23, is a transparent attempt on the part of the White House to use national security concerns as a pretext to censor, or at least indefinitely delay, Ambassador Bolton's constitutional right to speak on matters of the utmost public import. The attempt to suppress Ambassador Bolton's book is a clear violation of the First and Fifth Amendments and the covenant of good faith and fair dealing governing the nondisclosure agreements.

It also, as a practical matter, comes too late. In reliance on Ms. Knight's assurances that his manuscript contained no classified information, that she had no further changes to his manuscript, and that she would attempt to deliver promptly the pro-forma closing letter, and after hearing *nothing* for weeks in response to his urgent requests for the closing letter, Ambassador Bolton and his publisher, Simon & Schuster, moved forward with publication of his book. The book has now been printed, bound, and shipped to distributers across the country. Ambassador Bolton has no authority to stop the book from being made available to the public on June 23.

I trust that this will conclude the matter.

Sincerely,

Charles J. Cooper

EXHIBIT N

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20504

June 16, 2020

John R. Bolton
9107 Fernwood Road
Bethesda, Maryland 20817

SENT VIA HAND DELIVERY

Dear Mr. Bolton:

As you know, the pre-publication review process for your manuscript remains ongoing. To further that process, enclosed is a copy of the latest version of your manuscript with redactions identifying passages that, based on my initial review, appear to contain classified information.

As you and your counsel have been repeatedly informed, your manuscript in its current form is still not approved for public release and will not be approved until the pre-publication review process is complete. The manuscript still contains classified information. The review process required by the agreements you signed has not been completed. Dissemination of this manuscript in its current form would constitute a breach of your nondisclosure agreements and laws governing access to classified information and could have serious legal consequences.

I am available to meet with you to discuss the removal of classified information from the manuscript.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Ellis
Deputy Assistant to the President and
Senior Director for Intelligence Programs

cc: Charles J. Cooper, Esq. (by email, w/o enclosure)

EXHIBIT O

(V)

UNCLASSIFIED

(C)

1. can't leave w/ the book [w/ PRA] ⇒
2. not exclusive ⇒ Cyprus or Ireland
3. SCIF – they will work inside WH/NSC; leadership very aware of this;
4. Book – not outside of the norm
   - processed like other manuscripts
   - newness of the information; be more careful; no any info on foreign relations so POTUS can execute his/her duties
   ⇒ solely declassification review;
   ⇒ no one else knows what's in the book for Annex C
   ⇒ any info on NSC policy making – inner workings; who liked who; opinion – all fine; matters where DJ's own opinion must be made clear; must show citations to open source materials
   ⇒ parts of HOS calls/mtgs – OK; read outs; can discuss these problems – the quoting; paraphrase & speak at a high level; need cites;
   ⇒ outcomes of negotiations ⇒ & options ⇒ leadership – must be worked; this requires mix of referring to other agencies or parts.
   - Ukraine – not a CIA book; it's been declassified; so not much classified.
   - see your task steps through; will they work more;
   - asking some changes as from any other writing;
   - address flow / loss of time; flag to other agencies will slow things down;
   ⇒ SCI material
   - military studies; actions not taken; may not still be

(22)

UNCLASSIFIED

→ citation issue;
instead of saying do X → the allies questioned whether we would
"D's my assessment -
Formal →
→ can do chapter-by-chapter.

XLU - p2 - talked to quotes
" red lie
↳ at press conference
leaned into Call
p3 Heeder quotes - It was my assessment Call
Geneva strongly opposes;
'D's the quotes
We discussed;
quotes
p5 Polanski - he promised me he would get back to me -
p8 - could paraphrase w/o quotes
p6 → generally quotes are fine for POTUS;
"Would D be bad ...
p9 - Porter quote - must change;
p12 - need a citation for red lie
Included - "I judged
p16 - red lie - citation; or say my assessment
2d Included -
will take a look at quotes;
if you feel more than citation only;

underline need a citation

UNCLASSIFIED

(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

p17 ⇒ -for[illegible] he knew how [illegible]...
is this my opinion?

↳ p17-18 ⇒ forget the 2/4 - early google-[illegible]
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted] ⇒ maybe delete this?

Chapter I - Syria - p1 ⇒ 9/1 - get citations;
called any D's the week of
April 13, 2018 - office of the pres secretary
p2 - France D and the WH pres office ⇒
dated April 8;
p3 - Russia - citation
Sedwill -
not planners tally
same as Alonie -
(1.4)(c) delete [redacted]
p4 - re UK Amb ⇒ many times
publicly - cite
May - too sensitive,
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) ↳ [redacted] approval vs - the pres?
[illegible] ⇒ over-classified publicly;
p5 - May sensitivity;
Gen'l opinion piece - the pres re the
decision;
p6 - More calls;
my opinion;
redux - honored a bilateral approval

UNCLASSIFIED

(4)

UNCLASSIFIED

1st B ff

p 7 - proposed;
2d ¶ - will Dems - to pers;

(1.4)(a) p 8 - [redacted] -related targets
red line - pres statute;

p 9 - 2x mins;
DoD - pres briefg Mattis → Dunford lead
Fridays visit;

(1.4)(c) -Syria [redacted]

10 - [redacted]
get pres readout of the May. Trump call;

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p 11 - Macron - said Putin [redacted]
#11 - 40 SAM's at Latkia

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p 12 - Macron called [redacted]
is there a cite;

II. p 5 - other readily - need cyber strategy cite
- brief on the 2018 elections;

p 11 - Dibb - I'm OK w/ it

[p 12 - fire → frig → cites
Allison - cite;]

Matty - need cites;

p 13 - Tillerson - cite;
13 - cite the talking point thing;

p 15 - 2d full ¶ - ok
Knowles - last sentence re UNRWA funding;

p 16 - Syria numbers - need cites;

p 17 - cites → public knowledge

UNCLASSIFIED

p19 - Nomchul > cite WHpress release
dated 4/15 days we expelled 60
Russian diplomats

there III → p.6 embassy to Iran causes damage to our foreign relations;
and examples → quality and cite - negotiations is a no go;
don't embarrass the other leader.

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted]

p6 - Iran attack;
cite news report of the attack;
p9 - Shia militia

Tel → p2 - Mar-a-Lago ¶ -
my impression of what Abe wanted pattern he set?
we're a different climate now - foreign relations;
not we're paying you to say it
p5 - mbr staff - decorates;

Tab III

p1 ¶2 TP - delete quotes
¶3 Abe - delete quotes;
cite opening documents;

p2 carryover - no ref to decision making

(1.4)(d) [redacted]

p4 China sanctions; citation;
in my mind

p5 ¶1 4 pillars - citation -
Mano - quotes re comprehensive
pres conference
¶2 - Trump quote -
citation for - pretty good idea
Last ¶ - Mano briefly;
my impression & read between the lines
& beyond

p6 ¶1 & knew powers that Trump felt to Germany
was Russia's capture
Merkel free run
¶2 Iran - need abbr.

p7 ¶2 - May 5, 2018 readout of Pres O - J. T - 's
Call w/ P — M — L — Mag of
the V — K —
¶3 - Boris - citation;
¶4 - DT w/ Xi quotes
carryover to p8 w/ Mano
uncomfortable

p8 follow up

UNCLASSIFIED

(for several months)

p8 p47 - Foreseeable months -

Press Briefing by W-S-A-J-B-

- Thu

after Iran May 8, 2018

6:5

p9 97 - = my mind

= status

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

IV

p1 ¶2 - Chris suggested KSU - ~~should~~ should be retained;
my assessment;
¶3 - Yuki - delete quotes
action for action - 6-Potty Talks
elative
p2 carryover → timelines; ~~I thought~~
" was D ever said publicly
at this point in time, Japan was pressing for
Abe's position - does it bolster the more
~~the context~~
¶2 - Mon-a-Log ~~Does~~ does seem to me that
dismissed the log-story scenario with
Abe repeated the log-story US-J points
to elevate . . . . .
p3 carryover - SK believed - my opinion
¶1 - I wanted to explain/ my mistake;
SK was pressing this on agenda, and that
we needed to protect our interests
no quotes or c.d.
Moon ⇒ ~~delete~~ OK
in my opinion
P & B delete quotes
¶2 ~~¶~~ controversy over schedule
(~~&~~ we voted abstain); ~~&~~ abstained more
T asks M for specifics
Thx ; strategy together → Moon agreed to
send us a list

next sentence - D's my opinion why D was clear
diplomacy 101 -
NWD - no complicated POTUS leadership
¶ 2 - follow-up further strategy about
Singapore; "likely" ★
To manipulate - my opinion
Abe told him he was tough than Obama;
p4 ¶ 2 - CVID - cite
"in the pre-Singapore context,
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted] agreed
Abe told Moon pushed him;
D was
public Moon was optimistic, we all voted CVID;
KJU seemed amenable
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted]
agree
→ euphoria - my characterization, my assessment
p5 ¶ 1 - second - how else to get the information
out - source this differently; that's the problem;
D: we ratcheted & talks, I had concerns about PRC
take Chy out completely
In discussions w/ allies - take room out
¶ 2 - make exports - such as the IAEA
By precisely ... information is OK
We have now prior experience as in Iraq after
the first Gulf War - when
p6 2d ¶ - It was clear to everyone / to our side of the table
dep Chy; got situation;

p7 LS Tapper

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted]

¶1 - To South . . . delet

¶2 - end of war - get into

A declar KW was ended owns a key topic of the discussion;

2nd sentence

originally thought DO was NK's idea is OK, but to really vested more in reinforced;

p8 ¶1 - take out with quotes

(1.4)(b) [redacted] speculated - "May thought, myself included,

p9 carryover ¶ -

cancellation of Sunjae -

ate for Mon's arrivals; Chy gave DO re trade;

Yachi - ate re cancellation

2nd full ¶ - see of this was - the public domain;

see what SK's said about the mtg

p10 1st ¶ - Abe - Memorial Day - paraphrase key story positions

p12 3rd ¶ - explain more - the bracketed;

2nd lie from letter re DPRK - Mon.

to my opinion I thought

1st to ¶ → "so they could . . . themselves feared"

strong US presence period, then reunite thereafter

¶2 EVID, did we should talk about

this right the policy end Korea War article after WWII, which

Trump to here he assumed emphasized in advice.

¶2 - Chng quote - take out quotes

p13 - where do Australia > India come from?

Matis - DJT was his assessment + C beg they are big countries - the region - our position was more broadly supported;

¶3 - Moon - Singapore - delete or alter;

"I knew of it would be; could have met A see what Yuli had say;

p14 - ¶1 - Abe quote; paraphrase

↳ any quotes - he characterized argument

¶3 - Conte - cite that Italy voted RT in the G-)

¶4 - EU vote Chi; he trotted out his old theme

p17 - 2d ¶ - while he attributed to Chinese pressure

Balakrishna - paraphrase

p18 Carry over ¶ - China / border - get a cite

M still had an interest - comp to S

↳ OK up to execution;

Pod I actually know we meant by agility to try;

It should've been opposite to him he wasn't going to come - he wasn't in the right country;

p19 - 1st ¶ - whole book - not felt

21 through the lens -

¶3 - ✓ any Moon - quotes ⇒ paraphrase

Abe - Trump - Jeff

p.22

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) ¶3 - Yuli - concerned - any assessment

p23 ¶1 - was Xi's comment publicly known

(We said it to a lot of countries)

UNCLASSIFIED

(Pentagon analysts)

[redacted] - 2 sentences -

¶ 4 - One ... for obvious reasons;
rework → we prioritize broader;
Tangential ... electro-OH
focus on our priorities;

p24 - 1st ¶ - get to a higher level
"until we had a ... hence ...
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(c), (1.4)(d) "compared to [redacted]"

2nd ¶ - Change "...." delete grisly
regrettable - go to the - need date

p25 - 1st ¶ - "This was ... to leverage"
delete - sound
China-ate! public commentary
KORUS - postponed;
↳
later postponed for other reasons and later
signed on [date]

2nd ¶ - take quotes out;
3rd ¶ - 8/2/18 - Sorry
p26 - compare ¶ - & look forward to seeing you soon - etc
¶ 2 - "to track ... agency"
steps the N will and take & the underpinnings
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p27 - KYC [redacted] - would ever made public;
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) existence of [redacted];
p27 - my neighboring ROK Rep is an attempt to get
his attention; wake up;

UNCLASSIFIED

p28-29 (M-T - take quotes out, higher level)

p [redacted] 3rd ¶ - cut the KJV letter - 9/10 - get cites

p25 - ¶2 - get some cites; read is my assessment - delete Yael Chng;

¶3 Matt's opinion -

all signs pointed to the fact that Cab's conduct was declining;

Matt's continued to be concerned

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

(1.4)(d)

p1 ¶4 T [redacted] Helsinki T had reservations

p3-4 Put - conversation

Dimon reaction - cite

p4 ¶1 politically toxic - delete quote

p5 Merkel - quotes - take out quotes

p6 ¶1 - Stoltenberg conversation - take out quotes / paraphrase

p7 ¶1 - like T one - my opinion - do OK

¶3 - "may be easiest" - get cite

p8 ¶1 - get prep transcript ⇒ July 11

¶2-3 ⇒ Stoltenberg ntgs;

⇒ I thought he was embarrassed by / for POTUS;

p9 ¶1 - Merkel / Macron - cite or contact by Russia

use paraphrase;

p10 ¶¶3-4 T mtg - look for cites or paraphrase;

p11

p11 - ¶3 - OK "while they agreed"

Rutte - is there a citation?

p13 - carryover ¶ - T quotes

p14 - ¶¶ 3-4 - ¶3 paraphrase May; 2nd sentence is OK;

¶4 -

release ⇒ March 26, 2018

"Statement from the Press Secretary on the Expulsion of Russian Intelligence Officers"

names added on explanation

p14 - ¶1 - "there is never..." OK

¶1 - confirm prep of - get cite

p14 ¶3 - Netanyahu calls; no quotes; high level;

p. 16 ¶1 - paraphrase - where does 280,000 come from?

p16 ¶3 - paraphrase Putin on trade
p17 ¶2 - Putin-paraphrase;
p18 ¶¶1-2 - redacted;

UNCLASSIFIED

p2 compare Q - Petraeus - "unfairly" "complain"
Q2 ▷ a meritless → slows dir my guess
New START - cite the treaty
p3 - Lead Q re Stoltenberg - paraphrase
p4 - Q4 - OK re many
cite for Q - Williams
p6 - 2nd Q - Trump tweet
3rd Q - Shoygu - paraphrase;
(1.4)(a), (1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p7 Q1 put quotes - we don't have [redacted] plans; ③ need
cite for P threatening the country
↳ cite
Q2 - cite on R tactical nukes;
Q3 - Iran / P comments
p8 - compare Q - take quotes out
Q2 - accepts nukes - explain;
my assessment; Lead for sentence ∈
Q3 - Hecker - delay; delete his quotes
p9 - compare - P paraphrase
~~Q2~~ paraphrase 2
(1.4)(c) p10 - Q2 - [redacted] - get a cite for it;
verify no longer need to verify the terminology
(1.4)(c) p11 Q2 [redacted] - table this one;
4 countries - OK cite to the strategy
p12 ▷ Q3 - DoD authority
p13 - Q2 - cite for E.O.
p14 - Q3 - press release (see above) - explicitly says that;
p15 ~~Q2~~ Lead sentence

UNCLASSIFIED

VII

p1 ¶3 - T > E quotes foreign

p2 carryover ¶ - by allied leaders ad advisers

¶2 - Merkel - foreign -

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted] - etc

p4 ¶2 - planning to voyage Idlb - need date;

p5 ¶1 - ate for Israel attacks

¶2 - Iran supply Shia militia groups - date;

- Beglgi was not a consulate

p6 - carryover - T - on SONY people; foreign;

¶1 - T comments - foreign;

p7 - ¶1 - foreign

¶2 - ate to press reports of the leaks;

p8 - Macron - ¶3 - foreign;

p10 - 3rd ¶ - last line - foreign Trump

p11 - carryover ¶ - foreign;

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) ¶1 - "planned to [redacted] regime;

p12 - 2d ¶ - last 4 lines - Dec 26, 2018 ate

"Remarks by President T upon

to T troops at Al Asad Air Base"

p13 - last 3 lines - Defeated ISIS is - find exactly what happened

- GHWB was same proposal at the time - get a date;

p14 line 2 At T conf - we are still there

(1.4)(a), (1.4)(d) delete "on the [redacted] of"

¶3 - delete B > F "allies"

2 pres quotes - get dates;

p15 - ¶¶1 - Pompeo slaughter to Kurds get date; OK wording

¶3 this. may issue; purely history of this part

(118)

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) delete [redacted] reference;

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p1 ¶1 delete [redacted]
p16 FT1 ¶2 - get a citation or take out quotes around "no compromise"

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) ¶4 - delete [redacted]

(1.4)(a), (1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) delete [redacted] mtg - D was just his strategy

p17 ¶2 - At T conf ▷ and the rest of us on his behalf

p18 - ¶1 - allies

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) delete [redacted]

~~congress~~ T ▷ E
T ruled for the Kurds more responsible

p19 - ¶1 - Saddam's press statement - find cite;
¶3 - CIA - get citation; Pentagon ▷ DoD press statement

p22 cannon ¶ - get citation green or blue
¶2 - "the pages ... come from" delete
(1.4)(a), (1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) secrets will be [redacted] yes - would come in the future;
C.T platform ▷ OK

p23 ~~paragraph~~ sentence ~~order~~

p25 ▷ ¶2 - draft statement
¶4 - the State of the Union;

UNCLASSIFIED

OIII

p8 Putin - take the quotes at

p12 AQ 2 - Moscow - get ab

UNCLASSIFIED

3/3

(explain)

① → say somewhere how I got all this information

IX

p1 ¶5 what he has said → get a cite → "Madura has to go" → forces → away

L.5 - intervene - Venezuela

consider all necessary means

p2 ¶3 - Cubas / Guaido → cite Particip. Cubans as they

¶4 - attack me → cite → de-force,

p3 1st 2 ¶¶ -

¶2 ✱ diminish as low as possible

Guaido - fully supportive - get cite;

last sentence - get cite;

¶3 - OK - the argument

p4 ¶1 → DT → 1st sentence the fullest scope of action

last sentence - is OK explain more

p3 - elections - get cite

Podrian / Arreaza → cite ←

or don't name names

p4 - Cubas - Russia - get cites

what I said at the strike SEQUIN DG to intervene the Pres

p6 - ¶1 - "The Opp behaved - cite

Jeremy Hunt - cite

Pompeo - elections - cite OK

¶2 - Podrian - talk to Opposition - get cites

¶3 - get the letters out; "find out if any were dog'd - "recommend"

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d)

p5 ¶1 - [redacted] don't say

looking for guarantees from the Opposition; looking for concessions the Opposition (couldn't give)

Reclin - re Natl Assembly - cite;
¶ 2 - orders not to do mil planning - cite;
talk about option when Rubio deflected;
& didn't release mil option won't fly unless;
for any mil option the Pentagon will have to start
all over;
↳ cite to
¶ 3 - Guaido's words - also his public remarks the next day;
¶ 4 - reports of selections - although over 40 - also
killed 4 people - delete "although [redacted]
p) ¶ 1 spell Elliott Engle correctly;
¶ 2 - Arreaza - Pompeo - add sentence; as we were w/ all
Venezuelans we were meeting
Last sentence - Guaido quote - get cite
¶ 3 - VZ's mil attn - get cite; "means" - new
sentence to how him help out; SOF
p8 ¶ 2 - Last 2 sentences last sentence - get a cite.
Op comm - no cite possible
compare ¶ ~~[redacted]~~ delete quotes around days;
¶ 3 - quotes have to be paraphrased.
↳ look at readout of call
what Guaido said at the rally;
p9 ¶ 1 - CITGO - w/ Guaido to prevent Maduro control
we shared Guaido's objective to not allow that
¶ 2 - speak w/ R & C - get cite
¶ 3 - Bolsonaro quote - get cite
p10 - ¶ 1 - head into G home - get cite
C operation " "
6 meeting to people - get cite

(1.4)(b)

UNCLASSIFIED

Q2 - G believed / said - cite
Moderate entrance JB - get cite
Q3 - ✓ many agreed;
Cal Anl - opinion OK
Q4 - growing influence; my opinion
p11 Q1 Swarez Chemo - cite - sorry to didn't happen
Q2 - M Komanet - cite
Gorton - cites - all been public knowledge;
p12 carryover Q - all 4-6 Persones - get cites;
Q1 - how G got brows - cite
Q2 - Lost sentence - defections - get cites;
Q3 - Persones - get cite;
Q3 - N G defetion:
delete [redacted] reported
carryover Colombian feed - Opp feeling;
p13 Q2 - 500 - cite? speculated to be close to
Q3 - D going bail - - no need for cite
Q4 - more sanctions -> all three were done
p14 carryover - Visa + Mastercard; get cite
dead - these protests -> cite
Q1 - IMF - OK
get cite for my statement
Q2 - Iran deal - get cite;
Lost sentence ▶ DOJ cite - cite
IADB - get cite
Q3 - Lost sentence - Opp reports
p15 1st Q - evade sanctions - get cite
4th Q - 1st sentence - from the Opp

p23

p14 carryover - Cubans -
¶1 - ines - is OK
¶2 -> interdiction - did DT say this publicly?

p17 carryover ¶ - last 2 sentences - OK
(1.4)(d) ¶1 -> delete [redacted]
↳ 2 say D's the Opposition plan;
six lines from bottom of ¶ - Russia -
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) delete "[redacted]" - eliminate source;
last 2 sentences - take the quotes out

p18 - ¶1 - Los Rosales talked re Cubans - public?
cite + delete quotes;
¶4 - Padrino Q -> A - get cite
50 tweets - start quote at "In"

p19 - ¶1 - 55 C - cite Vote D colleagues
(1.4)(b) ¶2 - opposition - "[redacted]" "way home"
¶4 - 2 lines from bottom;

p20 - carryover ¶ - Figuera - C - F cite his interview
My impression the sequence - Opp told us;
via [illegible] the sequence - ↳ get 53 cites on what was to happen;
[illegible] ¶3 - more about me
↳ what Ponceau said -> what we later learned was false;

(1.4)(b) p21 - carryover ¶ - delete [redacted];
¶1 > ¶2 > Christopher interview
top of mtn;
C - beef of last Opp believed; "I believed
all undue pointed to C "

p22 ¶1 - make clear D's not on surveillance - police state
surveillance;
cite to FoD Venezuela;
why they were so worried;
¶4 - events - what Opp feared; fear escape events

(24)

compared PI

p23 - Putin - paraphrase

F 1 - [redacted] - ICRC - visit - my office; said to me negotiations - timeline forward - no ETA needed

¶2 - would naturally be more concerned;

¶3 - Moderna as Chong success - ate; looking back - - - It's clear to me I saw him a more recallable.

25

X

p1 Q3 - intel services - get cite

p2 Q4 - get cite - NSS;
as I read - speeches - notably C's transformation over the years;
A4 - FOIP - State Dept mins - pages 11/3/19 - State indonesia;

p3 A2 TE - cites;

A 3 - paraphrase - X: > T

p4 A 1 - Hanoi to data - cite?

p5 - carryover - 2TE - still monday
smaller fire - get cite
A 1 - delete evidence - clear logic to T's thereby
Q2 - T to UNSC - cite
3 - Pence speech - cite

p6 → Q2 - Yang - paraphrase

p7 - Dunn -
Q - 100-yr marathon - cite PRC play book
Q2 - all OK ↳ paraphrase generally;

p8 - Q 2 - substantial progress - get cite
Q3 - all of this in the pres; by POTUS at letters
delete Liu at the room;

p9 - Q 1 - T rings quote - get cite;
Remember for cite say - say here - although I can't quote DT directly, the words were explicit & I thought merged on airmail; It was clear to me;
Kudlow ag rules for pol support
rest of the conversation

p10 - [redacted]

p11 - Q2 - Huawei - cite wanted
say recently about cyberwafare : the trade deal

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(c), (1.4)(d)

p12 redune [redacted]

(24)

Q 1 – Huawei – UK – ate
Q 2 – ates for Japan, Australia, NZ; Acceptable
Q 3 – Ch detain people – ate
Chretien – ate

MRA

p13 Q 1 – paraphrase.
p14 – Q 2 – paraphrase;
Q 3 – Xinhua – get data;
p15 Q 1 – have we captured Ch v Uyghurs?
Q 3 – which by my estimate generated etc ...
T – paraphrase;
Q 4 – Xi – paraphrase
p16 – compare – is there a ate –
should by more than half is OK

(25) XT

UNCLASSIFIED

p1 ¶2 - cite for "another letter"
paraphrase T

p2 ~~Mar~~ ¶3 - T - paraphrase

p3 ¶1 "another rogue convinced that DPRK could denuclearize"

p4 ¶1 - D was publicly well known that the DPRK ~~[illegible]~~ Not surprising

pp5-6 - paraphrase put over on p5 to "With that the DPRK's included spelled out "D was clear to the world he was willing to walk away;

p8 - ¶1 D get cites for the reprisals;
+ W & Po - entities
¶2 - paraphrase -

p9 ¶1 Choe's a Hui - get cites;
delete Chng quotes;
We observed M continuing to press this
¶2 - whether the US should do more..
another encounter I passed on him, but what he later did

p11 ¶1 - look for cite to "full + fair remuneration of our costs"
¶3 - Moon - paraphrase, ← careful re Iran

p12 ¶¶1-3 $5 billion → are these #'s are public; classified

p13 - ¶1 - paraphrase
¶2 - Abe paraphrase
¶3 - exact times of calls? delete
¶4 - some point
¶5 - cite for "projectiles"

p14 - ¶1 Abe - paraphrase
¶2 Moon - paraphrase + cite on food aid - who was blocking D

(26)

¶3 - cites for more missile launches

(1.4)(b) p15 - ¶ 2 - but the contrary [redacted] - cites on something
there were worries about Syria
don't use [redacted]

(1.4)(b)

p16 - ¶2 - Abe, B/J - any DJ's any opinion
¶3 - paraphrase Abe -
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) "one of [redacted]" - any one of many
¶4 - T - paraphrase;

p17 - Carnone - Trump - I and others advised DT strongly
to keep Moon and;
¶2 - we hadn't figured out how to limit Moon's
attendance or something like that
how to ensure we signed a KJU-DT bilateral

p18 - ¶1 - ¶2 - ¶3 - paraphrase
all p19 - ½ p20.
all p20 - through the end

p21 - ¶1 letter KJU - get cite (where is this discussed before?)

p22 - ¶1 - withdrawal from the K peninsula; ¶¶ to be framed similar to Iraq, Syria, etc.

p23 - ¶¶ 2.5 billion - get a cite
¶.3 - get cite for 65 treaty and other actions by J. & SK.

p24 - carnone ¶ - get cites
→ ¶¶2 - my mins; At the time, J was ready
J should only unite the clock of...

I check - my assessment

p24 carnone ¶ - more cites for the actions
¶1 - CSCM/A - they showed close interest
↳ what do they say - what do we say;
get R ops quotes that describe it

(27)

compare 9.

p25 SKSC - cites for all of these activities

¶ 1 - cites for basis of 2 more SRBM's;

¶ 2 - get to ####

p26 compare ¶ - cites for removal from whitelist

¶ 1 - paraphrase - Battalge

(28)

XII.

p1 ¶2 Quds Force - get to
¶4 - the legitimate view / latter tally actions the Iran influence - get cites
p2 - campaign - tally to others - to
¶1 - ✓ mig announcement - need cite
p4 - ¶1 - Saudi buys Iran oil below mkt price - get cites
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) ¶2 - [redacted] and boost production - leverage; ME allies
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [get [redacted] out of the issues]
p5 ~~¶1~~ ~~- prepared to tell AK~~
p6 ¶1 - paraphrase T
¶2 - early the Lavrov - get cite
my statement - get cite
¶3 - more restore - get to
Rouhani - get cite
letter to Putin - get cite
p8 ¶2 - "the various threats"
¶3 - cite to ✓ mig's remarks - WH press statement
p9 ¶2 - ~~I~~ mtg [the Arabs] to pay for CO
¶2 - [motive very early] ~~clear off~~
¶3 - cause was? get cite
US personnel - CENTCOM announced
p10 ¶2 - delete Pompeo sentence
¶3 - Saudi denials - get cites
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) allies were watching - [redacted]
We consulted w/ all our allies + the tell re the Iran disinformation campaign;
pictures - where did we get that; OK
some statement on the caisse to get the details
¶3 - cryptanalysis - re Grace;
¶4 - knowledge still incomplete - although on [illegible] getting [illegible]

(29)

p.11
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) carryover Q - cite on Iraqis;
" " say Arabs vice [redacted]
Q 2 - " " " " "
Q 3 - Israel strikes - get cite
Q 4 - cites on attack on Saudi E-W pipeline;
p.12 carryover - press cites to T quotes;

Q 1 - re VZ - asked elsewhere to the Board
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted] Arabs copy
p.13 South Africa - is there a public cite?
[.... Iraq] What I heard most modestly
I remember this
Q 3 - Zawar Pah - get cite saying
Rahimi - get cite (apers report)
Q 4 - Iran - frustrated by admission like me - get cite
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) Q 1 - [redacted] ?
p.14 "Two of our Arab friends"
Q 2 - my opinion that Iraq was pushing
Q 3 - paraphrase Abe;
say "I've spoken to some of our Arab friends;
p.15 carryover 2nd Q - more to paraphrase;
Q 2 - paraphrase Mbz
p.16 carryover; "Plus, in my view, " "
(1.4)(b) paraphrase Mazur - [redacted] ...
Q 1 - SAM shot - get cite
My assessment could not have been more to the contrary;
Q 1 - DoD civilians - Pompeo told me personally
+ increased rapidly & severe
Q 2 - the proposal - get cites for what Iran was doing
p.17 carryover Q - Yarhi say Iran threatened this proposal
threats

80

p17
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d)
p 18

¶3 paraphrase Ale relayed
carrygne - [redacted] me informatio
CENTCOM website -
¶2 - cite on the file of the mine removal;
¶3 - paraphrase Khomeini
[illegible] STOA

(34) XII cont. 3/4/20

UNCLASSIFIED

p19 ¶2 - Abe + paraphrase - my perspective
¶3 - oil state - get cite;
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) S > O [redacted] - Arabs
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) [redacted] - Gulf Arabs;
p20 ¶3 - AEOI announced - cite
U.S - "We obviously... cite;
(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) ¶4 - [redacted] - Arab
- attack oil refineries - D is my opinion
p21 compare Obama - cite for his consideration;
¶1 - likely ... groups - cite
Iraqi announced - get to
p22 - CENTCOM testimony - announced publicly
" public briefing -
¶2 cont → get cite = press;
info can be released for other public;
(1.4)(a), (1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) to alleged [redacted] cites;
"although ... largely stated;"
¶3 - UAV cont - cite
p23 compare - [redacted] using;
from the nature of the contact - calculated;
just like any civil investigation;
"Trump asked ... impossible."
↳ cites for Russia; for advisers being there
knowing that Russia civil relationship w/ Iran;
¶1 - last 4 lines; Operation Sentinel;
¶4 - not merely symbolic - see earlier cites;
(1.4)(a), (1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) p24 [redacted] from the letter [redacted] again
¶3 - UK and = Iran attacked - look for a cite;
p25 compare - security information - trivial common occurrence

3/20

25

[redacted] Q1- wd delay things & ultimately we never asked never went any further;

Q2- one commentator - get cite;

p.26 carryover - C[redacted]TA → action when MP was Director

A4- what Pompeo paraphrased to me as [redacted] quote -;

p28 carryover Q1 - morning pattern; convo when I was a [redacted] cti;

(1.4)(a), (1.4)(b), (1.4)(d)

down to 2 sites re anything : public;

"we had already gone to Capitol -

Q2- Rod Paul - who did we hear it from

get public cti;

last 4 lines - get cite;

Q3 - the Israeli said - cite;

Netanyahu speech to UN : 2018 & 2019;

p29 - carryover - some pol

Q2- Z on sanctions - cite;

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) - Q1 - M about making [redacted] - had.

p. 30 ↳ make clear we are not speaking on his behalf

last 3 lines - Miller - quote OK - where is this coming from? He's saying again ...

He's corrected too, although I had no idea what he was referring to

Q2- M meeting negotiating; w/o NSC staff present or knowledge

last 3 lines; " M quote - way to explain? have to make concessions, having the wanted to make concessions;

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d)

dismayed over sanctions - don't say [redacted] no hard agreement but Trump seemed to be leaning

shows why P2 I agreed pulled back
p30 ¶3 – T quotes- look at paraphrase;
wavering on the decision
clear he didn't understand import of turning
emotions on and off;
p31 caryone ¶ – cite;

¶2 – get cites on Iran position or paraphrase Bonne;
"Get ready to hit ..... blank;
had to take it out: pre-pub review process;
the ... site;
¶3 – Paul / 2 mil – get cite;
p32 caryone ¶ – repudiate Rand Paul – get cite;
¶1 – Macron wishes; ⇒ T says glad to help if Macron what I've taken out: pre-pub review;
Pompeo & I discussed Macron's interference;
↳ involvement
¶3 ▶ JRO → passing – the call → turned to me;
p33 – caryone ¶, look sentence; my assessment;
¶1 – drone – get cite;
information was still coming in
¶4 – look 1½ mo; T – don't quote
¶5 – support for Russia in 6-7 – get cite;
"were considering"
p34 – caryone ¶ – get cites or don't quote
social exchange
¶1 – Israeli attitude – has this been acknowledged by Israel – get cite;
¶4 – synopsis

(31)

UNCLASSIFIED

p35 Q @ Iss mil op - some pol
@ 2 references to line of credit;
↳ is there a ask for this
financial proposal from France;
Q2- L.2 Mayor; LOC extend financial relief
weasel ⇒ OK;

p36 carryover Q- Lvl 2 brief
I believed semi Israelis
something I believed agitated Israeli officials
which made P kind.

general ⇒ could looking backwards not forward

p1 CT platform is a historical matter; cites [redacted] notched to [redacted] level;

(1.4)(a), (1.4)(b), (1.4)(d)

Q 2 - we all had the USG/Admin par use to had to be "conditions based" period
then explain what to means to me. 3 parts;
last 2 lines) - bought us time to maintain a sustainable CT platform;

Q 3 ⇒ 3 mentions of CT platform 1st mentions - maintain our capabilities

p2 ~~Q 2~~ Q 2 CT platform
CT capabilities
how to and work of new numbers
in practice;
if implemented;

transition from the current force levels to lower ones; the Pentagon is preparing;
how to move from the current to the proposed new levels

I have ⇒ OK to contract of Kayyem computer-based of Afghan officials
raising earlier the complaint;
"that the reduction ... the substantive
delete [redacted] rest is OK;

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d)

Q 3 - 1st sentence;
Afghan is ideal

p3 Q 1 - CT - capabilities
Nigeria - are these ## public
10 billion - get data for #
Q 3 - "keeping a official ..... withdrawing entirely"
this is all my opinion; use capabilities

p4 Q 3 - "The US would not be... CT platform,"
in Trump's mind and maintain a persistent CT presence,
US was repeatedly not completely of drawing from Afg
and and keep a CT capability

(53)

keep D where D is at that point in time

p4 PM for w/ drawal. Likelihood I would meet these conditions: my view was slim, and ∴ CT capability was at risk;

p5→ carryover: speculation K was about to announce a deal; Esper rightly concerned w/ how CT capability and its actually function in reality;

PQ 1 - "... went to get DOS forces... agreed w/ staff" this was the context of where we were; how to reach USG agreement among the various stakeholders from DoD, State, DOTUS, etc; disconnect b/w USG internal thinking; not to lose the CT capability; too much focus on gap (-) to Zero (line 3)

PQ 3 - CT platform

p6 PQ 1 - L5 - CT platform

balancing w/ drawal w/ CT platform

Lindsey G / Graham K;

what does Zero actually mean;

PQ 3 - L3 coalition based

(1.4)(d) [redacted]

Lost line I didn't like [redacted]

I's formulation of G's original vanilla that was actually K tongue in

(1.4)(d) p7 - carryover - I - crosby like [redacted]

PQ 1 - Merkel (Ukraine) what did we say (before)

PQ 3 - L.1 "60's make... a million points."

↳ has he said this publicly;

some sort of hedge anything

what I what I understood to mean (nearby the deal)

can't speak

Lost line over him - delete;

(3/4)

UNCLASSIFIED

p8 ¶ 1 – L5 – L7 – "The proposed level ... incurred to b"
¶ 4 add line CT platform w/o deal,
maintain a CT capability

p10 – Redacted

(1.4)(d) ¶ 1 – whether or not T killed [redacted] – whatever T's were
(1.4)(d) or [redacted]

p9 – ¶ 1 – we ~~acts~~ maintain a CT capability
L. 6 to end of ¶ – don't refer to DoD adjusted
those who voted a deal as we right-sized
our presence;

¶ 2 – citation to 8600 begin what T was now using

(1.4)(b), (1.4)(d) L5 – L 9 – this is my analysis [redacted]

L 10 – interview w/ Kilmeade – get to

(1.4)(d) ¶ 3 – last 2 lines [redacted] steady pace

(1.4)(d) p10 ¶ 2 – "like [redacted] => delete;

(1.4)(g) p11 ¶ 2 => Remove gerig; delete "[redacted] purpose";
¶ 3 => T also attack => get killed;

p12 – compare – speculation on acts re Iraq & DC;

UNCLASSIFIED

EXHIBIT P

Prepublication Review for NSC Access Case 190762
List of Required Edits Prepared for Ambassador John R. Bolton

HAND-DELIVERED TO COURIER ON MARCH 27, 2020

**Note: Italicized findings are suggested grammatical/spelling edits and are not related to security classification determinations.*

Chapter 1

Pg. 2, 1st full paragraph, 5th sentence – Option 1: delete 12th and 13th words and replace with "giving strong consideration to participating" so the sentence reads: "to say France was giving strong consideration to participating in a..." OR Option 2: delete everything after "say France" and add "wanted to coordinate a joint response."

Pg. 3, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 11th-15th words and replace with "considering joining the" so the sentence reads: "France and Britain were considering joining the response..."

Pg. 3, 2nd full paragraph, 7th sentence – Add "I spoke to" to the beginning

Pg. 3, bottom/carryover paragraph, 4th and 5th sentences – Please edit so as to not speak for the foreign side and not use quotes. Delete quotes in 4th sentence. Replace beginning of 5th sentence to read, "I deduced from my call with Sedwill that Britain's view likely was that our objective should be that our next use..."

Pg. 4, 2nd full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete 22nd word and replace with "building"; delete 24th word; add "potentially" after "coalition" so the sentence reads "were building a coalition potentially with Britain and France..." AND add "pending a final decision" at the end of the sentence so it reads "were already talking pending a final decision."

Pg. 5, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete everything after "desire to act" and add "soon" so sentence reads "desire to act soon."

Pg. 5, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 4th word and replace with "the" and delete the five words in the parentheses so the sentence reads "He also raised the attack earlier on Monday..."

Pg. 6, 2nd full paragraph – Option 1: Delete 2nd-4th sentences OR Option 2: rephrase to your opinion if you wish to keep the history, for example: "Sedwill again pressed on the timing, and I later wondered if May was cognizant of the domestic political pressures that would occur when Parliament came back into session the following week. Former PM Cameron had failed to obtain House of Commons approval..." and keep footnote 13, BUT delete the first sentence of the footnote.

Pg. 6, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete everything after "May and Trump spoke" AND delete the first three words of the 7th sentence so it reads "When May and Trump spoke, Trump seemed..."

Pg. 7, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Option 1: Add "Public reporting provided enough" to the beginning of the sentence OR add "in public reporting" after "increasingly clear"

Pg. 8, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd full sentence – After "too long", add ", an assertion he earlier stated publicly."

Pg. 8, top/carryover paragraph, 9th full sentence – Add "It seemed" to the beginning of the sentence and delete the quotation marks throughout

Pg. 8, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Add "proposed" or "possible" after "(1) a" AND add "option" after "attack" so it reads "(1) a proposed three-way attack option..."

Pg. 8, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete first 8 words and replace with "Erdogan seemed to avoid any commitment to join U.S. strike plans..."

Pg. 9, top/carryover paragraph, last sentence – Delete quotation marks

Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence- Delete the remainder of the sentence after the word "measured"

Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence- Delete "as I did Kalin's report on" and replace with "along with".

4th

Pg. 10, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 9 words after "Britain and France," AND insert "has impressed upon us their desire to strike" so it reads "Britain and France has impressed upon us their desire to strike sooner..."
Pg. 10, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 5th word and replace with "recommending" so it reads "Mattis was recommending..."
Pg. 10, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Add a citation for "but in several other cases since April 2017, and others where we were less sure" (could be an NGO or similar organization that tracks such events)
Pg. 12, top/carryover paragraph, last sentence – Delete 35th and 36th word and replace with "discussed" so it reads "had already discussed..."
Pg. 14, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 23rd and 24th words and replace with "some of us" AND delete quotation marks so it reads "which even some of us through was ridiculous."

Chapter 2

Pg. 1, 1st full paragraph – there's an extra "s" in "cases" – should be "case studies"
Pg. 2, 3rd full paragraph – "principal" should be "principle"
Pg. 5, 1st full paragraph – "endear" should be "endeared"
Pg. 13, top/carryover paragraph – "dates" should be "days"
Pg. 13, Footnotes 17 and 18 list the same source. You only need it once.
Pg. 17, 5th full paragraph, 7th sentence – Add a citation for "that Seoul had paid Pyongyang's costs" (can be a news article)
Pg. 18, bottom/carryover paragraph – there's an unnecessary hyphen in "Dean Acheson"
Pg. 19, 4th paragraph, 3rd sentence – Add a citation for "undeclared Russian intelligence agents" (there's a BBC story that characterizing the individuals this way)
Pg. 23, 3rd full paragraph, 4th sentence- Delete "meaning" and replace with "which I took to mean"

Chapter 3

Pg. 1, 2nd paragraph, 6th sentence – Add "alluded to the fact that" after "talking point that" so it reads, "by a talking point that alluded to the fact that we had..."
Pg. 3, top/carryover paragraph – Delete "at Friday's" and replace with "on Friday", delete 11th word, and delete 14th word, so it reads, "to everyone on Friday, including USUN..."
Pg. 5, top/carryover paragraph – Add "[on trade]" to the end so sentence reads "cold-blooded killers [on trade]."
Pg. 7, top/carryover paragraph, last sentence – Delete direct quote from Merkel. Replace with "Merkel finally said he could do what he wanted because he was a free man."
Pg. 7, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Add "alleged" between "another" and "Israeli"
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete first 5 words and replace with "To follow-up on"
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 10th word and replace "was" with "had weaknesses" so it reads "understood how the existing deal had weaknesses..."
Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 7th and 8th words and replace with "follow-up"

Chapter 4

Pg. 1, bottom paragraph, 3rd sentence – ~~Delete this sentence~~ unless you can better explain how you learned this and add a citation if possible
Pg. 1, bottom paragraph, 5th sentence – Add "from my estimates" to the end of the sentence so it reads "U.S. national interests from my estimates."
Pg. 1, bottom paragraph, 6th sentence – Add "in my opinion" to the end of the sentence so it reads "not substance in my opinion."
Pg. 1, bottom paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete everything after "Trump that" and add "no deal could occur without greater coordination with Moon Jae-in to ensure a cohesive stance."

Pg. 1, bottom paragraph, 9th sentence – After "I wanted to" add "preserve the ROK and our positive relationship with by avoiding" so it reads "I wanted to preserve the ROK and our positive relationship by avoiding the headline..." (change "avoid" to "avoiding")

Pg. 2, 1st full paragraph – Add citations for all the timeframes mentioned – "no longer than two years" and "only six-to-nine months" (in 10th sentence)

Pg. 2, 3rd full paragraph, 1st and 2nd sentences – Add a citation for the item referenced in 25th (italicized) and 26th words OR delete everything after "North Korea's nuclear program" and go straight to 3rd sentence

Pg. 3, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Add "always" after "was not" so it reads "was not always ours."

Pg. 3, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Add "while considering those of our allies" at the end this is your opinion

Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 17th-21st words and replace with "a timeframe" so it reads "agreeably close to a timeframe I had suggested..."

Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete everything after "Mar-a-Lago" and replace with "to contrast Moon's over-optimistic perspective."

Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, 11th sentence – Add "he" or maybe even "Trump" to make sentence clearer - "Abe stressed to Trump that Trump was more..."

Pg. 5, Footnote 11 – "2206" should be "2006"

Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph – "sit" should be "site"

Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – End sentence after "key information"

Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, new 4th sentence – Start new sentence with "Nuclear experts..." AND add "as was common practice" after "significant conclusions" or some sort of language that shows this was not a unique situation but a known info-gathering technique applied to other weapons program.

Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph – Again to show this is a known info-gathering technique, move the sentence that begins "We knew from IAEA's experience" to directly after the new 4th sentence. So all this now reads – "was concealing key information. Nuclear experts could have extrapolated significant conclusions, as was common practice, about... and more. We knew from the IAEA's experience... before during and after nay denuclearization." Then, continue with rest of paragraph as is "Subsequent international monitoring..."

Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph – Last sentence no longer makes sense because you've deleted the previous context. Recommend you delete for flow purposes.

Pg. 8, 3rd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete 14th-18th words and replace with "I later started to suspect it was" so it reads "North's idea, but I later started to suspect it was Moon's..."

Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph – Add "the" before "Blair House"

Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – "ofn" should be "of"

Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete everything after "thus" and replace with "delivering seemingly contradictory position to KJU."

Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete first 7 words and add "At the same time" at the beginning and "grew" after "concern". Also, delete 3 words after "quickly into" so it reads "At the same time, my concern grew that...and quickly reverting to the failed..."

Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Add "was" before "so eager"

Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, last sentence – "reflecting" is misspelled

Pg. 13, 3rd full paragraph, 10th sentence - After "foolishness, which" add "I believed" so it reads "foolishness, which I believed they themselves feared."

Pg. 14, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Add the close quotation around "a process"

Pg. 17, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete "that" after "however"

Pg. 20, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd and 3rd full sentences – Delete word after "who still", add "but" after "Singapore" and delete the rest of the 2nd sentence, change "It" to "it" and combine with previous sentence, and capitalize "Moon", so it reads "who still wanted to come to Singapore, but it should have been apparent to Moon..."

Pg. 21, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete "they" and replace with "anticipated that the" so it reads "very positive and anticipated that the two..."
Pg. 21, 1st full paragraph - Delete 5th and 6th sentences and replace with "KJU drew a distinction between Trump and his three predecessors, saying they would not have shown the leadership to create (or hold) the Summit."
Pg. 21, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 20-24th words and replace with "alluding to their" so it reads "talking first; alluding to their first meeting..."
Pg. 21, 1st full paragraph – Delete 8th sentence.
Pg. 21, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 2nd-7th words and replace with "emphasized"
Pg. 21, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Add "Even though" to beginning of sentence AND end sentence at "his sincerity." Create new sentence at "But" but delete "that was" and add "But those people were mistaken" at the beginning. So this now reads, "Even though those he knew there were people...his sincerity. But those people were mistaken because they..."
Pg. 21, 2nd full paragraph, 9th sentence – Add "regards to" before "Kim's criticism" so it reads "especially with regards to Kim's criticism..."
Pg. 21, 2nd full paragraph – Delete 13th sentence (starts "Things had totally")
Pg. 21, 2nd full paragraph – Delete "said" and replace with "promised" so it reads "Kim promised there..."
Pg. 22, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete "are" and replace with "would be" so it reads, "there would be"
Pg. 22, top/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete "us" and replace with "the United States"
Pg. 22, top/carryover paragraph, 9th sentence – Rephrase to explain with "this" means, so after "for him," add "deal-making and negotiating such as this Summit" and keep "would be easy."
Pg. 22, top/carryover paragraph, 13th sentence – "Korea" is misspelled
Pg. 22, 1st full paragraph - Add some sort of transition to the beginning to improve flow – "As the meeting continued, Kim congratulated..."
Pg. 22, 1st full paragraph, 11th sentence - Add "When it ended" at beginning of sentence to improve flow, so it reads, "When it ended, Trump and..."
Pg. 23, 2nd full paragraph – "quit" should be "quite"
Pg. 23, 2nd full paragraph, 11th sentence – Delete 7th and 8th words so it reads, "a little belatedly, about how to implement..."
Pg. 23, 2nd full paragraph, 14th sentence – After "Trump said" add "he believed Kim wanted to make a deal, and" AND delete everything after "to close" and replace "on one." So it reads "Trump said he believed Kim wanted to make a deal, and it was time to close on one."
Pg. 24, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Move "in my judgement" to directly after "Japanese"
Pg. 25, 1st full paragraph – Delete 4th sentence
Pg. 25, 1st full paragraph, 5th sentence – Change "would" to "could"
Pg. 25, 3rd full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 13th word and replace with "potential" and add "a" after "of" so it reads "underscored the potential of a division..."
Pg. 25, 3rd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – After "agenda than ours," add "just as any other head of state prioritizes his country's interests." and end the sentence there. THEN ADD "For Moon this likely meant" to create a new sentence. So it reads, "agenda than ours, just as any other head of state prioritizes his country's interests. For Moon this likely mean prioritizing inter-Korean..."
Pg. 25, 3rd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete "was" and replace "has always been" and delete everything after "interests" so sentence reads "That has always been an accurate statement of U.S. interests."
Pg. 26, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete first 4 word so it just starts with "I thought..."
Pg. 27, 1st full paragraph, 10th sentence – Delete first 11 words and replace with "But he again saw the contrast between ROK's reunification objective and our denuclearization goal," and leave the rest "and he decided against..."

Pg. 28, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Add a citation for the two potential and specific DPRK actions OR make a more generic statement such as, "in exchange for the North reducing or eliminating some of its nuclear activities."
Pg. 28, bottom/carryover paragraph – Rewrite first four sentences to say, "Surprisingly, just before Pompeo was due to depart for Pyongyang for more talks, the North Koreans warned denuclearization would not be on the agenda. Pompeo wanted to ignore it and tweet that he was looking forward to meeting KJU." need the news report in fn. 31 - it's all there,
Pg. 28, bottom/carryover paragraph – Delete 5th sentence (starts with "Send a kind"). Paragraph should read "shouldn't go at all. 'I agree that a trip at this point…'"
Pg. 29, top/carryover paragraph, 1st full sentence – Delete six words after "Pyongyang" the letter is in the public domain see fn. 31
Pg. 30, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Move "he wondered" to the start of the sentence so it reads "He wondered what had happened…"
Pg. 31, top/carryover paragraph, top line – Add "likely" after "he" so it reads "something he likely desperately…"
Pg. 31, 3rd full paragraph, 1st sentence – Add "in my opinion" to the end of the sentence
Pg. 32, 2nd sentence – Delete "as" AND delete 9th and 10th words and replace with "reaffirmed" so it reads "September 1 came and went and Mattis reaffirmed…" [Note: if the date no longer makes sense because the reference was deleted on page 25, just start the sentence with "Mattis reaffirmed in early October…"
Pg. 32, 2nd sentence – Delete last 2 words and just end sentence with "Peninsula."

Chapter 5

Pg. 3, top/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence- Add a citation to the sentence that ends "we did not!"
Pg. 3, 1st full paragraph, 3rd paragraph – Replace "us" with "them" so it reads "problems for them and Assad." sentence
Pg. 3, 1st full paragraph, 12th sentence – Delete "said" and "for you."
Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete extra quotation mark at the end
Pg. 7, 2nd full paragraph, 9th sentence – Replace 23rd word with "strong-arming"
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph – "bunder" should be "bunker"
Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Should be "World War III"
Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Add "or any country" after "Ukraine"
Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete last 9 words after first instance of "close" so the sentence ends with "came to a close."
Pg. 9, 1st full paragraph, 10th sentence - Replace 16th word with "supported" so it reads, "he had supported Stoltenberg's term…"
Pg. 10, top/carryover paragraph, 1st full sentence – "leaked" should be "leaking"
Pg. 10, top/carryover paragraph - 2nd full sentence doesn't make sense. Perhaps rewrite to say, "Trump laughed along with Marcon and looked at Mattis as if to imply he knew where the leaks were on the U.S. side."
Pg. 10, top/carryover paragraph, 12th full sentence – Add "he believed" after "who" so it reads "who he believed hated America…"
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph – "EUI" should be "EU"
Pg. 12, top/carryover paragraph – "united" should be capitalized and "form" should be "from"
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, 13th sentence – Delete 4th word and replace with "defend ourselves from"
Pg. 13, 2nd full paragraph – Delete second "we" from sentence that begins "However…"
Pg. 15, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete quotation marks.
Pg. 15, 1st full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete "you" and replace with "he should", add "to the meeting" after "go in", delete period after "strength" to combine two sentences, add "claiming that" after "agreed", delete "I'm" and replace with "he assured her he would", and change "giving" to "give".

So it reads "Helsinki, he should go in to the meeting from a position of strength, and Trump agreed, claiming that Putin...truth], and he assured her we would not give anything away."
Pg. 15, 3rd full paragraph, last sentence – Delete 7th word so it just reads "breach of security..."
Pg. 16, top/carryover paragraph – First four full sentences (from "Netanyahu" to "understood it.") need to be paraphrased more and at a higher-level or delete entirely.
Pg. 17, 2nd full paragraph - Replace 3rd- 6th sentences with the following to remove quotations: "Niinisto started by reminding Trump that Putin was a fighter and therefore it was important for Trump to hit him back if he attacked. Second, Niinisto impressed on Trump the importance of respect to Putin and that if trust is established, then he may be more discreet. Finally, again as if pumping him up for a boxing match, Niinisto warned Trump to never provide an opening or give one inch. He ended his pep talk with a Finish saying, "the Cossacks take everything that's loose."
Pg. 17, 2nd full paragraph - Delete 9th sentence.
Pg. 17, 2nd full paragraph, 10th sentence – Delete first 8 words and replace with "Niinisto went back to his pep talk, saying Putin was not stupid and he wouldn't attack NATO countries, and" and keep "that while Putin made a mistake...Crimea."
Pg. 17, 2nd full paragraph – Delete 11th and 12th sentence and replace with "Trump blamed Obama and promised to not accept such behavior to my immense relief, underscoring that Putin would not have done it if he were President at the time."
Pg. 18, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete 6th-12th words and replace with "commented on the US' tough stance"

Chapter 6
Pg. 1, 2nd full paragraph – There an extra period after "India"
Pg. 7 – top/carryover paragraph, 2nd full sentence- Delete remainder of the sentence after the word "signed" and add the word "and", changing "The" to "the", combining the two sentences. Delete ", said Shoygu"
Pg. 7, top/carryover paragraph, new 3rd sentence- Delete "And, he concluded, he entirely agreed with me," and replace with "I remember him agreeing that"
Pg. 7, 2nd full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete quotation marks throughout and change "value[s]" to "valued", "is" to "was" and "you" to "me" so it reads "valued our contacts a lot and that it was always a pleasure to meet with me."
Pg. 7, 2nd full paragraph – Delete 7th sentence ("I said we didn't...") and replace with "As I would reiterate in my later press conference, I told him the U.S. had not yet made any final decisions regarding future deployments."
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Add "mentioned he had" after "He" and "the topic" after "discussed" AND THEN delete everything after "Netanyahu" so it reads "He mentioned he had discussed the topic with Netanyahu."
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 9th word and replace with "acknowledged our view"
Pg. 10, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Remove quotation by rephrasing as "Trump asked for my opinion, and I urged..."
Pg. 11, top/carryover paragraph – Delete last sentence. (Reveals current policy option still under consideration)
Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph – Delete last sentence. (Reveals current policy option still under consideration)
Pg. 11, bottom/carryover paragraph – Delete the extra "a" after "not"
Pg. 11, bottom/carryover paragraph – No need for Footnote 15. After further consideration, we are ok with the use of the word "clandestine" in this general context.
Pg. 13, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 21st and 22nd words and replace with "policymakers" so it reads "that gave policymakers more flexibility..."

Pg. 13, bottom/carryover paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete 26th word so it just reads "jealous of NSA's..." (make NSA possessive)
Pg. 13, bottom/carryover paragraph, bottom line – Delete 15th word in sentence and replace with "departments" so it reads "specific guidance to the departments to..."

Chapter 7

Pg. 1, 3rd full paragraph – Delete 4th sentence and replace with "Trump stressed his friendship with Erdogan but implied it would be hard for even him to fix the hard issues facing the US-Turkey relationship unless Brunson returned to the United States."
Pg. 1, 3rd full paragraph, last line – Delete extra quotation mark after "crazy"
Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, last line – Replace comma with period.
Pg. 4, Footnote 12 – No need to mention Wong piece as part of this footnote since it's listed as Footnote 13.
Pg. 6, 2nd full paragraph – Replace the 3rd-7th sentences with the following to remove quotes: "...and then you get off. Trump rolled on claiming he didn't want anything bad to happen to Erdogan or Turkey and that he would work very hard on the issue. Erdogan also complained...extradited to Turkey. Trump hypothesized that Gulen would only last one day if he were returned to Turkey. The Turks laughed..."
Pg. 10 – Bottom paragraph – Extra "Shanahan" in that sentence
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 8 words after "onto it" and make that the end of the sentence.
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 17 words after "Ok" so sentence just reads "responded, "OK, we'll decide the schedule on that later."
Pg. 15, 2nd full paragraph – Extra period after "remarks"
Pg. 17, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete last 15 words after "international force" and just end the sentence there
Pg. 17, 2nd full paragraph, 7th sentence – Add "in my opinion" after "domestic politics"
Pg. 18, top/carryover paragraph – Delete 2nd and 3rd sentences
Pg. 18, bottom/carryover paragraph – extra period after takeover
Pg. 19, 1st full paragraph – "hade" should be "had"
Pg. 23, 2nd full paragraph, penultimate sentence – Delete 15th-18th words and replace with "the coming years" so it reads "on the U.S. in the coming years."
Pg. 24, 1st full paragraph – 1st question in 6th sentence, rephrase so it doesn't give away our assessment of what could happen in the future. "Would the Afghanistan government collapse after we left and if so, how fast?"
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete "to" before "U.S. troops" AND rephrase 32nd-35th words to be "maintain CT capabilities" AND delete last 7 words of the sentence so it just ends at "capabilities."
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 5th sentence – Replace first 3 words with "These capabilities..."
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Replace 11-14th words with "would" so it reads "existing mission would go to..."
Pg. 26, 3rd full paragraph, 4th sentence – replace 7th-9th words with "the CT capabilities" so it reads "room for the CT capabilities..."

Chapter 8

Pg. 8, 3rd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Change "would" to "could"
Pg. 8, bottom/carryover paragraph – extra period after "November"

Chapter 9

Pg. 1, - Insert a new second paragraph (see * below)

Pg. 1, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 24th-26th words and replace with "military options for" so it reads "he wanted military options for..."
Pg. 1, bottom/carryover paragraph, 4th sentence – Add "discussing" after "of" and delete 6th word and replace with "options" so it reads, "advocacy of discussing military options..."
Pg. 3, Footnote 6 – Delete 1st sentence of footnote and just say "Figueroa later resigned as SEBIN chief."
Pg. 3, Footnote 7 – Please add a citation for the Maduro press release as the Hansler/CNN article doesn't mention it. release was sporadic from VZ; I was informed of it, but don't have a copy
Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph, top line – Source in Footnote 13 just says inspired by Cuban guerilla forces of 1960s, so rephrase sentence to say "motorcycle thugs used by Chavez to sow terror and intimidate any opposition, appeared..."
Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, penultimate sentence – Add "reportedly" after "paramilitaries"
Pg. 6, bottom/carryover paragraph – Delete 13th-15th words so it just reads "who now wanted assurances regarding post-Maduro..."
*Pg. 6, Footnote 14 – Pull the context that is in Footnote 14 up in the text. The information, particularly the explanation of how the information about the Opposition was obtained and how the Administration openly discussed these attempts after they occurred, in this footnote should be moved and made into the second paragraph of the chapter, on page 1. Keep the sourcing information as Footnote 14.
Pg. 7, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 10th word and replace with "advisable" so it reads "option was advisable..."
Pg. 7, 1st full paragraph – capitalize "John"
Pg. 7, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete extra quotation mark at the end
Pg. 8, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – The citation doesn't mention percentage of support from military, so delete first part and edit to read "The Opposition believed more of the rank-and-file as well..."
Pg. 8, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 11th-16th word and replace with "were likely still" so it reads "however, were still likely too"
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete last 28 words so the sentence just ends at "very significant." why?
Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 17th and 18th words so sentence just reads "and many asserted it..." unless you can find citation that supports keeping those two words
Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 14th-18th words so sentence reads "loyalists were sending..." unless you can find citation for those individuals specifically cite found
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete quotation mark before "very"
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete first 14 words and replace with "Trump then assured Guaido he'd pull [his government takeover] off and offered an aside about how he [Trump] was sure Guaido would remember in the future what happened," and then continue with "which was Trump's way..."
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete "of saying" because repetitive with "of referring"
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 4th and 5th sentences – Delete all quotation marks
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete quotation marks and rewrite to say "for the people to hear that he had spoken with Trump when they fight..."
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 10th sentence – Delete quotation marks and change "you" to "him"
Pg. 11, 3rd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Provide a citation for "we were providing advice...to nominate people..."
Pg. 11, 3rd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 3rd-6th words and replace with "we learned" AND add "allegedly" after "was" so it reads "From Moscow we learned Putin was allegedly very..."
Pg. 11, Footnote 26 – Capitalize "Sanders"
Pg. 12, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Provide citation or rephrase to say, "We were hopeful Guaido and the Opposition were taking the opportunity to speak with Russian..."

Pg. 13, top/carryover paragraph, 1st full sentence – Delete 3rd-5th words
Pg. 13, top/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete first 12 words and replace with "Continuous contact was being made" so sentence reads "Continuous contact was being made with top military..."
Pg. 13, top/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Change "his" to "Guaido's" so it reads "could come to Guaido's side"
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete first 5 words and replace with "A plan was developed" and continue with "which we thought..." We didn't develop the plan
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete first 2 words and begin sentence with "Then humanitarian..."
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete first 4 words and replace with "There was the hope"
Pg. 14, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Rephrase sentence to read: "As February 23 approached, rumors intensified of a high-level military leader, likely Venezuelan Army Commander Jesus Suarez Chourio, publicly announcing he no longer backed Maduro."
Pg. 14, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete 9th-11th words so it reads "but the humanitarian cross-..."
Pg. 14, 1st full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete 7th word and replace with "a change of this magnitude" so it reads "some feeling that a change of this magnitude would..."
Pg. 14, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 8th-10th words and replace with "there was" so it reads "and there was evidence..."
Pg. 14, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 8th-11th words and replace with "it would likely be difficult" so it reads "outside Venezuela, it would likely be difficult Guaido..."
Pg. 14, bottom/carryover paragraph, 4th sentence – Change "we" to "he"
Pg. 15, 2nd full paragraph, 1st sentence – Add "reportedly" after "helicopter,"
Pg. 15, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete last 6 words of sentence so it ends at "Saturday."
Pg. 15, Footnote 35 – Delete last 9 words so sentence just ends with "scanty". ALSO *"dad" should be "dead"*
Pg. 16, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Add "I thought" after "Saturday," so it reads "I thought the Opposition..."
Pg. 16, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Change "have" to "execute"
Pg. 16, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 6th-8th words and replace with "there was speculation" so it reads "much later that there was speculation the Colombians..."
Pg. 17, 1st full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete 3rd word and replace with "one" so it reads "In fact, one immigration..."
Pg. 18, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete 6th-8th words so it just reads "we learned a visiting foreign delegation,"
Pg. 18, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete 7th-11th words and replace with "coordination" so it reads "impaired coordination activities..."
Pg. 18, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete first 6 words so the sentence begins with "Contacts with..."
Pg. 19, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete last 10 words so the sentence ends with "without incident."
Pg. 19, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete last 4 words and replace with "substantially reduced" so the sentence ends "were already substantially reduced."
Pg. 20, top/carryover paragraph, last sentence – Delete 14th word and add "likely Cuban" after "surveillance" so it reads, "of heavy surveillance, likely Cuban, of leading..."
Pg. 20, 1st full paragraph, first sentence – Add a citation for "delisting" being a common practice or explicitly state that it is commonly applied to other situations and not unique to Venezuela.
Pg. 21, 2nd full paragraph, last sentence – Delete last 11 words so sentence ends at "Nicaragua." Give fact to the Nicaragua leaders Honduras
Pg. 22, 2nd full paragraph, 7th and 8th sentences – Rephrase to say "Rosales answered with a description of the extreme violence and connection with the Cubans. That provoked another..."

Pg. 24, top/carryover paragraph, 4th and 5th sentences – Add citations for 1) "reports provincial governors...matters into own hands" and 2) number of service members that defected
Pg. 24, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete last 11 words so sentence ends at "pipeline." why?
Pg. 24, bottom/carryover paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 6th-8th words so it reads "hearing that April 20"
Pg. 24, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 3rd-32nd words and replace with "several Venezuelan senior military leaders" so it reads "Talks with several Venezuelan senior military leaders were becoming..."
Pg. 24, bottom/carryover paragraph – Delete 4th sentence (starts "In particular...")
Pg. 25, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Change last part of sentence to read, "although we learned later that this information about both the meeting having occurred and the military personnel being involved was incorrect."
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – After "assigned role," add comma and then delete until the end of the sentence. Then add "but, it turned out" to combine the two sentences.
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 8th-12th words and replace with "senior military leaders" so it reads "was that senior military leaders were all..."
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 9th-11th words AND add "likely" after "Cubans" so it reads "only because on Monday night the Cubans likely had..."
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Add "in my assessment," after "evidence"
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete 19th and 20th words and add "the" before "TSJ" AND delete 24th-25th words and replace with "resulting in a" AND add "which" before "spooked" AND delete last word and replace with "senior military leaders" so it reads "breached, the TSJ became increasingly nervous resulting in a failure...Constituent Assembly, which spooked senior military leaders."
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete 4th word and replace with "they likely" AND delete 14th-20th words AND delete 24th-26th words so it reads, "cover, they likely hesitated, and the release of Lopez on Tuesday morning only further increased unease." No – they hesitated – that is a fact.
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 10th sentence – Delete 4th word and replace with "these Generals", replace "his" with "their" and "he" with "they" so it reads "that these Generals may never have... hedged their bets...that they could jump..."
Pg. 26, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 24th and 25th words so it reads "forward, hoping others would come along."
Pg. 26, bottom/carryover paragraph, 8th and 9th sentences – Delete the parentheticals throughout so it just reads "with Dunford, Shanahan, and Haspel...and Pelosi."
Pg. 26, bottom line – Eliot Engel is misspelled
Pg. 27, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Add "State" or "authoritarian regime" before "surveillance"
Pg. 27, 1st full paragraph – Delete 2nd sentence (citation doesn't mention the fact of who took him to F.T.)
Pg. 28, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete 14th-20th words and replace with "said"
Pg. 28, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 5th and 6th words and replace with "would be to foment" so it reads "route would be to foment competition..."
Pg. 28, bottom/carryover paragraph, 6th sentence – Add ", in my view," after "Instead" AND replace "were" with "are" AND end sentence after "institution." Start a new sentence at "An order to..." so it all now reads "Instead, in my view, senior military officers are almost certainly still more concerned...as an institution. An order to suppress..."

Chapter 10

Pg. 3, top/carryover paragraph – "stick" should be "sticker"
Pg. 8, 1st full paragraph – "If that could be agreed, all..." lowercase "a" in "all"
Pg. 9, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Add citation for all the commitments you listed

I have taken a shot at modifying your language - let me know if it's OK.

Pg. 9, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete last 11 words so sentence ends at "negotiations."
Pg. 10, top/carryover paragraph, last 4 sentences that still include quotes. You wrote that you would like to discuss these lines further, but we still would like for you to delete the quotes. One suggested way to rephrase is: "He then stunningly turned the conversation to the election and, as if alluding to Chinese interference campaigns, pleaded with Xi to ensure he'd win. Further he mentioned the importance of farmers and soybeans and how somehow that'd equal a win."
Pg. 10, 1st full paragraph, 6th and 7th sentences – Delete everything after "broached" and replace with ", he implied China owed the U.S. a favor for getting Japan out of WWII to which Xi replied with a lecture on how the Chinese fought for 19 years and relied solely on themselves to defeat the Japanese aggressors." Then, go to next sentence that starts "Of course..."
Pg. 10, bottom/carryover paragraph, 1st and 2nd sentences – Delete last 9 words and 2nd sentence and replace with "tariffs but he again returned to agricultural products encouraging Xi and the Chinese to promise to buy as many as possible." Then move to next sentence that starts "Then, they would..." (change "we" to "they")
Pg. 10, bottom/carryover paragraph – "Lie's" should be "Liu's"
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph – Extra period after "Foundation"
Pg. 13, bottom/carryover paragraph, 2nd sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "Abe had said China is the largest..."
Pg. 13, bottom/carryover paragraph, 5th sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "Abe encouraged Trump to maintain the countries' unity against China and more."
Pg. 13, Footnote 19 – This citation doesn't speak much to Huawei's ties to UK but Footnote 20 does, so just remove #19.
Pg. 14, bottom/carryover paragraph – Word missing after "he would now U.S. companies..." should "allow" be in there?
Pg. 16, bottom/carryover paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 15th-21st words so the sentence reads "NSC process, but it was not..."
Pg. 16, bottom/carryover paragraph, 8th sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "Trump told Xi to go ahead [with building the camps] and that it was the exact right thing to do."
Pg. 17, 3rd full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 21st-24th words so it reads "service members in recent years..."

of which there are many. This was quite well known

Chapter 11

Page 2, bottom/carryover paragraph, 1st sentence – Replace "his own" with "the ROK's" before "agenda"
Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete last 21 words and rephrase as "was ending, I learned secondhand there was a proposal from KJU, one that addressed DPRK economic pressures but not one that addressed the policy goal I had long-pushed – complete denuclearization." Then, go to next sentence that starts "This was a typical..."
Pg. 5, 1st full paragraph, 5th sentence – Two verbs, delete either "waited" or "went"
Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph – Missing a word – "to look bad because he *was the one"*
Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 6th word and replace with "a" AND delete 9th word and replace with "would be" so it reads "significant a Yongbyon "concession" would be for..."
Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete last 16 words so the sentence ends with "to his offer."
Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 8th word and replace with "might" so it reads "they might have had"
Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete last 7 words so the sentence ends at "getting nothing."
Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, 8th and 9th sentences – Delete 24th-26th words and end sentence with "hit the United States." Then, start a new sentence "I viewed this as an obvious dismissal of what I said earlier..."

how many times do you have to be told you need to?

Yongbyon is cited all over the place & this can't be Trump himself even a close call.

Pg. 6, 1st full paragraph, last sentence – Add "responded that" after "Trump" so it reads "Trump responded that what I had..."
Pg. 7, top/carryover paragraph, 7th sentence – Add "we" after "that if" so it reads "that if we accepted"
Pg. 7, top/carryover paragraph, 11th sentence – Add "I" after "and" so it reads "emotions, and I worried it might..."
Pg. 9, 2nd full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 16th word and replace with "a strong response" so it reads "induced a strong response in South..."
Pg. 9, 2nd full paragraph, last sentence – Add "perhaps" before "because" AND delete last word and replace with "being impacted."
Pg. 12, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 5th and 6th words and replace with "we learned" so it reads, "pleasantries, we learned the South had had no..." AND delete 23rd and 24th words so it read "and that the North needed..."
Pg. 12, 3rd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Add "his desire was for" after "underlining that" so it reads "underlining that his desire was for the next summit..."
Pg. 12, 3rd full paragraph – Delete 6th and 7th sentences - deleted?
Pg. 13, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd full sentence – Rephrase beginning to say, "Trump conveyed he felt he was very..."
Pg. 13, top/carryover paragraph, 3rd full sentence – Delete 19th-23rd words, and add "were too high" at the end of the sentence so it reads "base costs, Trump's expectations were too high."
Pg. 13, top/carryover paragraph – capitalize Moon
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph – Delete 3rd sentence. (See next edit where we insert a rephrased version later in the paragraph.)
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, after 7th sentence (that begins "Of course, it wasn't"), add a revised version of the 3rd sentence as follows: "My view was that the South Koreans followed the practice of making Japan an issue when times were tough at home."
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, last sentence – Delete last 24 words so the sentence ends with "about the issue." why?
Pg. 13, 3rd full paragraph, last sentence – Delete extra quotation mark
Pg. 14, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Add ", a position he still holds publicly." to the end so it reads "robust military posture, a position he still holds publicly."
Pg. 15, 1st full paragraph – Capitalize "Moon" and add "know" after "Korea" in penultimate sentence
Pg. 17, 3rd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Add "spoken to" or "meet with" after "he had" (whichever makes the most sense) so it reads "time he had spoken to Abe and Moon..."
Pg. 17, 3rd full paragraph, 10th sentence – Delete 21st-26th words so it reads "nuclear sites and wanted another..." No see earlier – this is all very very public
Pg. 18, 1st full paragraph, 10th sentence – Delete the last 11 words so the sentence ends with "if he could."
Pg. 18, 1st full paragraph, last sentence – Delete the last word so the sentence ends with "around."
Pg. 18, 3rd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete 44th-47th words so it reads "how to ensure it was..."
Pg. 19, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete 6th and 7th words so it reads "paramount issue is to have..."
Pg. 19, 1st full paragraph, last sentence – Delete "you" so it reads "only pass along what..."
Pg. 19, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete last 15 words so the sentence ends with "U.S. elections."
Pg. 19, 2nd full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete extra quotation mark
Pg. 20, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete "but" so it reads "Korea, the U.S was..." → not sure what this means, but made
Pg. 20, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 10th word and add "serious consequences" so it reads "would be serious consequences if the U.S...."
Pg. 20, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Missing word – could make things better, *stressing*
Pg. 20, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete "you" and replace with "the South Koreans"

Pg. 20, 2nd full paragraph, last sentence – Delete last 5 words so the sentence ends with "protecting it."
Pg. 20, bottom/carryover paragraph, 2nd sentence – Add ", in my opinion," after "disingenuously"
Pg. 21, top/carryover paragraph, 1st full sentence – Add "had" after "it" so it reads "that it had taken"
Pg. 21, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence - Delete extra quotation mark
Pg. 21, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Add "a" after "wanted" so it reads "wanted a guarantee"
Pg. 21, 1st full paragraph, penultimate sentence – Add citation re: hotline
Pg. 22, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Add citation for "another letter" (KCNA released a photo of KJU reading the letter.)
Pg. 25, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete last 17 words so the sentence ends with "mil-mil cooperation."
Pg. 26, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 29th word and replace with "belabored" AND delete "on" and replace with "with" so it reads "Trump belabored Moon with it."
Pg. 26, 3rd full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete 8th-10th words and replace with "Chung added" AND delete quotation marks in sentence AND delete last two words of sentence so it reads "at risk, and Chung added, Japan should be...its diplomatic goals."

Chapter 12

Pg. 1, bottom/carryover paragraph – extra comma after "stay there,"
Pg. 2, Footnote 3 – "Iran" should be Iraq" in "influence in Iraq"
Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph – "Nether" should be "Neither"
Pg. 4, 2nd full paragraph – Add a close quotation mark after "so important,"
Pg. 5, top/carryover paragraph, penultimate sentence – Option 1: Delete 29th-45th words OR Option 2: Rephrase to say, "I recall a similar conversation that reflected Trump's indifference to our allies with regard to the waivers. When considering another foreign leader's visit to Washington, which also raised the issue of eliminating the waivers, Trump had a ready suggestion" and continue with your quote.
Pg. 6, bottom/carryover paragraph, 4th sentence – Add citation for "expanded assistance to..."
Pg. 7, Footnote 11 – Wrong hyperlink I just tried it – it is the right one.
Pg. 8, top/carryover paragraph – "Russian" should be "Russia"
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph, 4th-6th sentences – Add a citation for Dunford's views (He spoke at Brookings about this topic)
Pg. 10, bottom line – "fine" should be "find"
Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 8th and 9th words and replace with "allies" so it reads "to say allies were confirming"
Pg. 11, 1st full paragraph – "I" should be "in" after "enemy codes"
Pg. 11, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – After further consideration, we no longer think a citation is necessary as it was well-known. You may delete Footnote #18 if you want.
Pg. 12, bottom/carryover paragraph, 2nd sentence – Add ", including me," after "believed" so it reads "who believed, including me, it came from..."
Pg. 14, 1st full paragraph, 8th sentence – Add "hearing" after "remember" and change "saying" to "say" so it reads "remember hearing him say, "I..."
Pg. 16, 1st full paragraph, a period after "Then" when it should be a comma
Pg. 16, 2nd full paragraph, "they" should be "the" after "especially wanted"
Pg. 16, bottom/carryover paragraph, 2nd sentence – Change "you" to "I" and "here" to "there" so it reads "that I came there, and"
Pg. 16, bottom/carryover paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 5th-8th words so it reads "couldn't understand why Trump..." I have found a cite re UAE and Saudi Arabia calls
Pg. 17, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd full sentence – Delete 35th-40th words and replace with "about the potential talks" so it reads "unhappy they were about the potential talks."
Pg. 17, 1st full paragraph – Extra period after "in my view"

Pg. 18, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete everything after "Iran visit" so the sentence ends with "talking points for the Iran visit." AND Delete 2nd, 3rd, and 4th sentences and add a new sentence "After hearing his proposal, I explained why I had my doubts it would work and made a comparison to DPRK." The paragraph then continues with "Japan was schizophrenic on..." AND Delete 6th and 7th sentences. [If you can find an open source citation that discusses the specifics of the Japanese proposal, then you can keep the details. Otherwise you need to speak of it in general terms.] New paragraph should read: Yachi called me...talking points for the Iran visit. After hearing his proposal, I explained why I had my doubts it would work and made a comparison to DPRK. Japan was schizophrenic on...the two threats were. I wanted to keep as much...JCPOA."
Pg. 18, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 9th-11th words so it reads "clear that Abe's idea was..."
Pg. 19, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete 6th-9th words and replace with "proposal" so it reads "about his proposal..."
Pg. 21, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd full sentence – Add "not" after "should" so it reads "Abe should not feel..."
Pg. 24, 1st full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 6th-11th words and add "military targets" so it reads "we hit three military targets along..." AND delete last 19 words so the sentence ends with "Iran's coast." [Note: We asked for a citation about the specific targets, but if not known, then just call them "sites" or "targets" throughout. The next few edits all pertain to this.] found cites
Pg. 24, 1st full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete 8th and 9th words so the sentence reads "the three sites"
Pg. 25, top/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 16th and 17th words and replace with "military" so it reads "hit three military sites..."
Pg. 25, top/carryover paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 5th word and replace with "targets" so the sentence reads "if the targets were..."
Pg. 25 – Delete Footnote 44 because it identifies the type of targets. no it doesn't - it's about the SAM that hit the drone
Pg. 27, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 16th word so it reads "against the sites"
Pg. 27 – There should be a period after "arrived" at the end of the page, not a comma
Pg. 30, bottom/carryover paragraph, 7th sentence - Delete 7th word AND add "for the military targets" after "pattern" AND delete last word and replace with "site" so it reads "searched for a table of organization...the manning pattern for the military targets, and somehow concluded...per site."
Pg. 30, bottom/carryover paragraph, 13th sentence – Delete 10th word and delete last 18 words so it reads "already down to two sites rather than three."
Pg. 31, 2nd full paragraph – Capitalize "John"
Pg. 31, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Provide a citation for "but perhaps _____ (___)" or delete. The citations provided do not mention it specifically.
Pg. 32, 2nd full paragraph – Delete extra quotation mark after "I said"
Pg. 33, 1st full paragraph, last sentence – Add "or where the number of Tomahawks came from."
Pg. 33, 2nd full paragraph – "not" should be "nor"
Pg. 33, 2nd full paragraph, 7th sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "Macron asked Trump directly what he would be willing to give up precisely, demonstrating..."
Pg. 33, 2nd full paragraph, 8th sentence – Delete 5th and 6th word AND delete 18th-21st words and replace with "some" AND delete 33rd-36th words and replace with "a brief timeframe" so it reads "first ducks, they did discuss before the call ended the idea of lifting some ...for a brief timeframe, and Trump..."
Pg. 33, bottom/carryover paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 3rd word and replace with "some", delete 7th-9th words and replace with "sanctions" and delete 14th-19th words and replace with "other proposals he'd discussed with Macron" so it reads "It's only some of the oil sanctions, which was better than other proposals he'd discussed with Macron, which is..."
Pg. 34, first full paragraph – Ships name was Stena Impero not Steno
Pg. 34, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete quotation marks and last two words so it reads "was maximum resistance to maximum pressure."

Pg. 35, 3rd full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 20th, 21st, and 23rd words and replace 23rd word with "description" so it reads "he touches [a description I was required..."
Pg. 37, 2nd full paragraph, 1st sentence – Citation doesn't say both countries listed supported, said one country was neutral. Rewrite to say, "which had almost no support."
Pg. 37, bottom/carryover paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 17th and 18th words so it reads "strikes in Syria the night..."
Pg. 38, 3rd full paragraph, 1st sentence – add "on" to "as well as on Iran"
Pg. 38, bottom/carryover paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete last one and replace with "operation."
Pg. 39, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Add "(that's my recollection)" after "weasel,"

Chapter 13

Chapter Title – Replace 5th word with "Mission" throughout
Pg. 1, 1st paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 27th-31st words and replace with "(2) remaining vigilant with respect to the nuclear..."
Pg. 1, 1st paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete last 5 words and replace with "to pursue in early 2019" AND add a footnote here that explains the situation "Note: In February/March 2020, the United States signed an agreement with the Taliban but what follows in this chapter is the policy debate while I was APNSA in 2019. I don't know or have any knowledge of what was in that signed 2020 agreement other than press reports."
Pg. 1, 2nd full paragraph, 9th sentence – Add "the" before "Taliban"
Pg. 1, Footnote 1 – "form" should be "for"
Pg. 2, 2nd full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 18th-21st words so it reads "wherewithal now that Taliban..."
Pg. 2, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 8th-13th words and end sentence at "perfect." Then, add "The killing of opposition" to begin new sentence and delete 15th-16th words and replace with "often makes" so it reads "was actually perfect. The killing of opposition leaders often makes..."
Pg. 2, 2nd full paragraph, 7th sentence – Delete 36th word and replace with "resources" so it reads "reduced resources, even..."
Pg. 2, bottom/carryover paragraph – Rewrite first few sentences to say "Dunford further explained the need to maintain a CT presence for the broader region. As he launched into his charts and slides, Trump said, "there are..."
Pg. 3, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Delete 10th word so it reads "cost reductions the CT..."
Pg. 3, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 47th word and replace with "he said the" so it reads "foreign aid, as he said the President of..."
Pg. 4, top/carryover paragraph, 1st full sentence – Delete last 24 words and replace with "what I considered to be our objectives: ensuring a resurgence of terrorism did not occur and remaining vigilant with respect to Iran and Pakistan."
Pg. 4, 2nd full paragraph – "Principal" should be "principle"
Pg. 5, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Add "DOD learned" after "July 1," so it reads "By July 1, DOD learned Khalilzad..."
Pg. 5, 1st full paragraph – Add comma after "animated" and delete extra period at end of paragraph.
Pg. 5, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 27th and 28th words and replace with "support" AND delete 30th-43rd words and replace with "capabilities" so it reads, "Trump to support counter-terrorism capabilities essentially without..."
Pg. 5, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete last 5 words and replace with "in support of CT capabilities."
Pg. 5, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 5th and 6th words and delete 11th-18th words so it reads "explain all this to Taliban was obviously..."

Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 24th word and replace with "I and many others believed would have" so it reads "produced a result I and many others believed would have severe..."
Pg. 6, 2nd full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 26th word and replace with "supporting" AND delete last 8 words of the sentence so it reads "same time supporting a CT capability."
Pg. 6, 2nd full paragraph – "hm" should be "him"
Pg. 7, 1st full paragraph, last sentence – Delete 24th-27th words so it reads, "keep me happy," a point he..."
Pg. 7, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "...Merkel asked him what he was going to do about Ukraine, to which Trump replied..."
Pg. 8, 2nd full paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete 6th and 7th words so it reads "back to Fort Trump..."
Pg. 8, 3rd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 11th-14th word, capitalize "Tank" and end sentence after "stop there" AND delete 25th word, AND delete 27th and 28th words and replace with "again pushed for" AND delete 32nd-34th words, so it reads "resource levels in the Tank briefing (discussed above), and just stop there. Pompeo again pushed for a commitment to zero in the agreement..."
Pg. 9, 2nd full paragraph, 5th sentence – Delete 8th-20th words AND delete 30th word and replace with "would be" so it reads "reduce forces without any deal (albeit unhappily because while those levels would be the best..."
Pg. 9, 3rd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete 13th-17th words so it reads "CT capability and then..."
Pg. 10, top/carryover paragraph, 1st and 2nd full sentences – Delete last 21 words of the sentence and first 8 words of next sentence so it reads "conditions based' language somewhere out in the weeds."
Pg. 10, 2nd full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete 20th-26th words so it reads "coalition forces, and then wait..."
Pg. 10, 2nd full paragraph, last sentence – Add "I thought" after "because" so it reads "largely because I thought Taliban wouldn't"
Pg. 12 – last paragraph – "unknow" should be "unknown"
Pg. 13, 2nd full paragraph, 4th sentence – Delete last 13 words so the sentence ends with "signed an agreement."
Pg. 13, last paragraph – "f8ull" should be "full"

Chapter 14

Pg. 2, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Delete all quotation marks
Pg. 3, 2nd full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete 3rd word and replace with "information" AND delete 17th word and replace with "information" so it reads "The initial information was... but later information..."
Pg. 3, last paragraph – "were" should be "was" in "if Russia was entirely" subjunctive
Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, 3rd sentence – Delete first 11 words and replace with "Hecker speculated Poroshenko could now campaign as a strong anti-Russia candidate, noting that the..."
Pg. 4, 1st full paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete 2nd word and replace with "likely" so it reads "Germany likely opposed postponing..." there was nothing "likely" about it
Pg. 4, 2nd full paragraph – "Saudi Arabi" should be "Arabia"
Pg. 5, top/carryover paragraph, last two sentences – Rewrite to remove quotes – "when she asked him what he was going to do about Ukraine, to which he replied, "Angela..."
Pg. 5, 3rd full paragraph, last sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "Even though he had no doubt I already knew the Russian position, he then repeated it to me at some length."
Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph, 4th sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "to Trump, namely that because of the illegal...a criminal case had been launched and investigative actions had been launched."
Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph, 6th sentence – Add "the Russians claimed" after "crew," and combine with next sentence so it reads, "provided by the crew, the Russians claimed it was a military provocation, and the operation..."

Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph, 8th sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "Therefore, in accordance with their legal...formalities were being initiated, so release..."
Pg. 6, top/carryover paragraph – Delete last 3 sentences and replace with "He then made an analogy to our Southern border and lectured me on recent U.S. actions on the Mexican border, and more."
Pg. 6, 2nd full paragraph – In sentence that begins, "In a later Trump-Merkel bilateral," replace quoted text with, "Trump insinuated that perhaps a Ukrainian President sympathetic to Russia could help avoid World War III."
Pg. 9, bottom/carryover paragraph, 6th sentence – Add "and" after "career," to read "television career, and he had..." and end sentence at "contacts there." Start next sentence should read (to remove quotes) "However, Putin added he had yet to manifest himself (unclear to me what that meant), and Putin had not yet spoken with him because Putin claimed there wasn't a final result in the election and therefore Zelensky was not yet president." Then continue with your sentence "Whether Putin..."
Pg. 12, 1st full paragraph, 8th and 9th sentences – Delete and replace with "Macron (who met with Zelensky on June 6) and Merkel both seemed to be warming to Zelensky by the time of the G-20 in Osaka." Then go to your last sentence, "However, based on Trump's..."
Pg. 13, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Add citation for the reason he resigned OR delete this part.
Pg. 17, 1st full paragraph, 1st sentence – Add "it seemed" OR "my assessment was that" after "At the G-7," so it reads "After the G-7, it seemed France and Germany..."
Pg. 17, bottom/carryover paragraph, 6th sentence – Delete and rewrite to remove quotes – "assistance. He and I discussed the need to change the culture of Ukraine's military, and Khomchak expressed his desire for English-language training. He was ready to conduct necessary reform, but he was also very worried about..."
Pg. 17, bottom/carryover paragraph, 9th sentence – Delete 15th word and replace with "the region" so it reads "strength in the region, which would..."
Pg. 19, top/carryover paragraph, 1st partial sentence – Add a citation for the number of reform bills.
Pg. 19, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd sentence – Rewrite to remove quotes – "Zelensky emphasized that the time for promises was over and it was not time to implement new policies."
Pg. 19, 1st full paragraph, 2nd sentence – Remove quotation marks
Pg. 23, top/carryover paragraph, 2nd full sentence – Delete 12th-19th words and replace with "mimicking" so it reads "purposes, mimicking my predecessors." mimicking is a negative word
Pg. 23, top/carryover paragraph, penultimate sentence – Add a close quotation after "leave"

End of List